

A Doctor Cannot Give His Professional Opinion? A Response To Henry Miller



Florida Surgeon General Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo

by Armando Simón

Dare to think for yourself.” – Voltaire

In scientific journals, it is common practice that, whenever a doctor or scientist, instead of presenting the results of an experiment, puts forth a point of view, a counter to the argument is printed at a subsequent time. This back-and-forth may continue for a few times, depending on the editor.

Issues & Insights is, of course, not a publication exclusively of scientific findings, although it has in the past presented articles by professionals in the health and scientific fields. One such is a [recent](#) article by Dr. Henry Miller, which I would like to counter herein.

In it, Dr. Miller puts forth a blistering, insulting attack on Florida's African-American Surgeon General Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo. The basis for the attack is that (a) Lapado criticized the safety of the COVID "vaccines," (b) he recommends against getting the latest COVID boosters for people under the age of 65, which contradicts the Centers for Disease Control, which wants children as young as 6 months to be injected and (c) Lapado disagrees with the CDC, to which everyone must obey without question.

First of all, vital information is being left out in Miller's article regarding the "vaccines," namely that they did not go through the usual, mandatory, series of trials because of the hyperpanic that ensued in the first months when it was predicted that COVID-19 would kill millions and millions and millions and millions and millions of people, a panic to which many people became addicted to (referred to by Bill Maher as "pandemic porn"); and, as I never get tired of repeating, the currently available COVID shots are not true vaccines in that they do not contain inert pathogens as is usually the case, but involve a novel treatment using mRNA. Many well-educated persons are aware of these facts, hence their resistance to submit without question. A few may even remember the tragedies of thalidomide and cisapride (Propulsid).

Recently. Dr. [Lapado](#) has come out stating that the new boosters have had no clinical trials prior to putting them on the market, yet they are being promoted.

Second, it is perfectly normal and acceptable for physicians and scientists to give opposing opinions to matters within their purview. *It is called science.* It is called a second opinion. This is elementary. *If it cannot be questioned, then it is not science, it is propaganda.* Only with the COVID hysteria has this basic pillar of scientific procedure been attacked and demonized.

There are certain individuals in society (present company exempted) who, on certain topics such as COVID, scream out "The science is settled!" The implication being that no further discussion should take place or be allowed. For one thing, such a battle cry goes against the very essence of science. Science always questions, always doubts, always reexamines. For another, [such](#) an outlook is totalitarian in nature.

Third, Lapado is not alone in his position, he is not some isolated crank with these ideas. Far from it. Let's start with the [Great](#) Barrington Declaration by Drs. Martin Kulldorff, Sunetra Gupta, and Jay Bhattacharya, which would ultimately be endorsed by hundreds of thousands of scientists. Almost immediately upon its publication, it [came](#) under attack by what I call the media hivemind and the Covidians, primarily through insults, stating that giving their professional opinion was [arrogant](#), that it was a [manifesto](#) of death, as well as equating the public health scientists with climate deniers, flat earthers, [Q-Anon](#) and [creationists](#). It has been my observation that when leftists have no counterarguments, they usually bury the offender with a cascade of abuse. [And](#), of [course](#), censorship.

There are many other dissidents. Some went public and, naturally, came under attack. Among others, the most visible have been Drs. Scott Atlas, Peter McCullough, and Robert [Malone](#), but there have been dozens and dozens of physicians/scientists who have also been relegated to the role of Cassandra.

In addition, practitioners quietly saved patients' lives by prescribing medication that had been forbidden by both the media hivemind and the CDC for no medical reason, or refused to administer the toxic injections which by then had become obvious were dangerous. As a result of their integrity, they were demonized, fired from their jobs, and some even had their licenses revoked. Simply for giving their professional opinion

on the transmissibility and mortality of the virus, and the efficacy of the faux-vaccines. I have [documented](#) some of these brave physicians/scientists who were targeted and why. The same applies to conscientious [nurses](#).

An [undercover](#) operation recorded persons working in a pharmaceutical company saying that children do not need vaccines and should not get them. As usual, this was ignored by the propaganda outlets. John Soriano was an executive at a pharmaceutical company who resigned in protest for coercing its employees to take the “vaccine” (he did so willingly), thereby personally forfeiting millions of dollars, “because I cannot support such an immoral, anti-science show of force.” His [narrative](#) is well worth a read.

Fourth, Dr. Miller seems to be unaware of the [fact](#) that [many](#) individuals who [have](#) submitted to [being](#) injected with the mRNA concoction along [with](#) boosters – two, three, four times – have contracted the [COVID](#) virus anyway, including the [director](#) of the [CDC](#)! In fact, in some places those who received the injection were more [prone](#) to subsequently become infected:

- In Massachusetts [74%](#) of those with COVID had been “vaccinated” while [70%](#) of COVID cases within the CDC had been vaccinated, and [98%](#) of persons in an outbreak of COVID in Norway were vaccinated.
- In the United Kingdom, during July 2021, more than [47%](#) of new COVID cases were from persons who had submitted to the injection.
- Despite its 100% vaccination rate, Gibraltar had 5,371 cases, [15.8%](#) of its total population. More studies from around the world have confirmed this.

Fifth, unlike Dr. Lapoda, Dr. Miller seems to be unaware of the plethora of *worldwide* studies that have been published in journals that have found a host of adverse effects from the mRNA. These have included [ocular damage](#), [D-](#)

[dimer](#) elevation, [pancreatitis](#), [mania](#). There has also been a link between the injection and [Bell's palsy](#), [cancer](#), [Guillain-Barré Syndrome](#), [vaginal](#) bleeding, and [syncope](#). However, the overwhelming type of adverse effects have been myocarditis and pericarditis. And many of these [have](#) proven [fatal](#), particularly in [young](#) males, particularly athletes. Look it up.

Therefore, Dr. Lapoda's (and others') recommendation against getting the injection is thoroughly justifiable, regardless of Miller's insults, considering the scientific evidence of higher-than-normal adverse effects compared to a regular vaccine, particularly [when](#) it is taken into account that the mortality [rate](#) from COVID alone was [grossly](#) exaggerated due to financial incentives to report it and the media-induced hysteria. Furthermore, it was known from the very beginning that young people were practically immune from COVID, yet, there was/is a hellbent fanaticism to mask and "vaccinate" the young.

Lastly, the CDC. The integrity of the [CDC](#) was compromised before the COVID fiasco. The pharmaceutical industry, which gives the CDC millions of dollars each year, has been found to have great clout within the bureaucracy to the point that scientists within the agency have sounded the alarm. The CDC has been involved in several scandals in the past. To unquestionably trust any bureaucracy is naïve.

In regard to the COVID fiasco, we [find](#) that the CDC behaved [worse](#) than usual. It promoted [face masks](#) to prevent contagion even [though](#) it is well [known](#) they [have](#) no [effect](#) in [prevention](#). [Mask](#) mandates were simply a symbol of conformity, of obedience.

Something that Miller should acknowledge, or investigate, is that governmental agencies (CDC, FDA) and media outlets engaged in deliberate, coordinated [censorship](#) of [facts](#) and professional opinions that contradicted the dogma. It

deliberately [lied](#) to the [public](#) regarding the faux vaccine's safety; in [fact](#), it tried to hide vital data, i.e., scientific fraud.

The same is true for the FDA. The faux vaccine's toxicity was known as early as February 2021, yet bureaucracies and the media hivemind continued to push it. In fact, if one looks at the news reporting on this scandal, the mainstream media (ABC, CNN, CBS, NBC, NPR, Washington Post, New York Times, etc.) refused to report it. They simply became propaganda outlets. Nor is it just the bureaucracies, but the push for censorship includes rank-and-file [physicians](#) who have become fervent Covidians even though their patients are being traumatized. Truly contemptible.

Inexpensive, effective [drugs](#) with which to treat COVID were demonized in a propaganda [effort](#) to the [point](#) that [physicians](#) who [recommended](#) these [drugs](#) were [penalized](#) and evidence of [their](#) effectiveness was [suppressed](#). They were [hydroxychloroquine](#) and [ivermectin](#); the latter, in particular, was sneered as a "[horse dewormer](#)" (the two doctors who developed ivermectin received the [Nobel](#) Prize in Medicine). The [FDA](#) and [other](#) bureaucracies such as the American Medical Association deliberately [lied](#) to the public.

Instead of these safe medications, which were cheap for the patient, [remdesivir](#) was officially recommended, which was [dangerous](#) and expensive (but profitable for the pharmaceutical companies). [Patients](#) in [hospitals](#) who [begged](#) for the medication [were](#) denied by the fanatic [staff](#). Doctors who advocated the forbidden medication were demonized by journalists as being tied to [right](#)-wing political groups. Countries that had no ties to the American [media](#) used the forbidden [drugs](#) with positive results. [After](#) several published [studies](#), authorities [finally](#) and grudgingly admitted the efficacy of hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin.

Recently, the CDC recommended COVID [boosters](#) even though those boosters have never been tested on humans.

As tempting as it is, I will leave for another day extensively listing the [crimes](#) that were committed by the Covidians, the [persecution](#) and terrorizing of [nonconformists](#), the basic human rights that were violated, and the extensive [censorship](#) in [both](#) the [social](#) and mainstream [media](#), though elsewhere I have documented the hypocrisy of our sanctimonious [overlords](#) who fulminated in public against persons breaking lockdown for not wearing masks yet did the same because *they* were the entitled elite. I omit these topics because they were issues not raised in Miller's original paper.

The authorities were wrong *in every single aspect of the "pandemic"*: the number of deaths, the number of deaths predicted, the lockdowns, the masks, the faux-vaccine, the treatments, the medications, the collateral damage, the refusal to give treatment to unvaccinated, the ethics. The COVID fiasco and the resulting censorship and persecution will go down as one of the classic cases to be taught in medical schools for decades to come.

I have given Dr. Miller the benefit of the doubt in stating that he is ignorant of the above facts and studies. But the alternative could be that he is a true believer.

Armando Simón is a retired psychologist, author of "The Book of Many Books" and "Fables from the Americas." Both he and his family had COVID. It was like the flu.

First published in [Issues and Insights](#).