A terrorist attack has happened in Europe. Let the standard response begin...

Douglas Murray writes in wasn't. But people needed it to be. Not because Muslims don't do good deeds, but because in the wake of any Islamist terrorist attack people need people opposed to the bombers to be Muslim and the bombers themselves not to be Muslim. Then the good Muslim can represent Islam while the bad Muslims can be said to have nothing to do with it.

Soon we will move to the next phase, during which broadcast media will ask questions that address no major points. So in the UK the government's Communications Data Bill will get quite a lot of mentions. We will probably also have another round of the old discussion about Control Orders versus TPIMs. This will most likely be first raised by a Labour politician hoping to look tough. Everywhere on the media people will start to talk of 'radicalisation' as though it is something you can get from the water, and experts will claim insight into the 'paths to extremism'. Nicky Morgan will announce that the Prevent agenda should be extended to encompass pre-kindergarten. A year later she will close some Quaker-run nursery.

Meanwhile other people will change the subject over to the question of Belgium's unacceptably interventionist foreign policy. Others will get onto Israel-Palestine. At around the same time the Corbynite-wing of the Labour party will get onto their favourite subject which is not dead bodies in airports but people who have been looked at meanly on a bus while wearing a headscarf. By at least tomorrow the story of a savage 'backlash' (consisting mainly of stares and horrible things written on social media) will be being

talked-up by all mainstream Muslim leaders. By Thursday no one will be talking about the victims.

Meanwhile Twitter will reprise some version of the post-Sydney 'I'll ride with you' meme (based on a fib) or the 'You ain't no Muslim, bruv' which was shouted during December's Leytonstone attack by a non-Muslim and briefly acclaimed by everyone from the Prime Minister down as one of the finest expressions ever of the English spirit and language.

This is how it goes in Europe now. Everything barely worth saying will be said endlessly. And the only things that are worth saying won't be said. What are those things? Among other things the fact that we are living with the consequences of an immigration and 'integration' fantasy which should have been abandoned years ago. Instead our governments have kept pretending that the weakening of Europe's external borders and the erosion of its internal borders happening at the same time as one of the largest population replacement exercises in history could have no tangible effects on our continent's future. They pretend that Britain will always be Britain, France will always be France, Sweden will always be Sweden and Belgium will always be Belgium.

But perhaps we do learn some things. Albeit silently. A decade ago, after every attack, the pundits used to point to places where mass immigration, integration and open borders were meant to have worked. After London people said 'What can we learn from France'. After Paris they said 'What can we learn from the Swedish model.' Nobody cites Sweden anymore. In fact nobody looks to anyone else's model anymore. Because all of the 'models' failed. So here we are — stuck with a problem our politicians have given us and to which they have no answers. Perhaps all this pointless chatter is just what people do to distract themselves before they have to face up to that fact.