

Ali Sina, Born And Raised A Muslim, Fails To Find Islam's Golden Rule

An excerpt from an article by Ali Sina, a well-known apostate from Islam who runs the website www.faithfreedom.org, which is written by ex-Muslims, hoping to find others, born into Islam through no fault of their own, who are having their doubts, as any sensible person should.

Islam: Like Satanism and the Creativity Movement, Islam does not adhere to the Golden Rule. The closest that Islam comes to this principle is a hadith that says:

"None of you [truly] believes until he wishes for his brother what he wishes for himself." [Number 13 of Imam "Al-Nawawi's Forty Hadiths."]

The same hadith is reported by [Bukhari 1.2.12](#)

"The Prophet said, "None of you will have faith till he wishes for his (Muslim) brother what he likes for himself."

This brotherhood however does not extend to everyone. Quran ([9:23](#)) states that the believers should not take for friends and protectors (awlia) their fathers and brothers *if they love Infidelity above Islam*. In fact there are many verses that tell the Muslims to kill the unbelievers and be harsh with them. A clear example that Islam is not based on the Golden Rule is the verse ([48:29](#)) It says: ***"Muhammad is the messenger of Allah; and those who are with him are strong against Unbelievers, (but) compassionate amongst each other."***

This is the perfect definition of fascism. There are many other verses that show the brotherhood in Islam is not universal. The rest of mankind have no rights and should not be treated in the same way that Muslims are to be treated. The entire Quran is the breach of the Golden Rule. Quran tells Muslims to slay the unbelievers wherever they find them ([2:191](#)), do not befriend them ([3:28](#)), fight them and show them harshness ([9:123](#)), and smite their heads ([47:4](#)).

According to Muslims it is not the Golden Rule that defines the good and bad but it is Muhammad who does it. They believe what is good for Islam is the highest virtue and what is bad for Islam is the ultimate sin. This is the ethos of all cults. From Asahara's Aum Shinrikyo to Jim Jones' People's Temple; from Sun Myung Moon's Unification Church to David Koresh's Davidian Branch, the recurring theme in all of them is that the cult's interests overshadow the human understanding of right and wrong and to advance the interest of the cult, which is regarded as the ultimate good, everything, including lying, even murder and assassinations are permissible. The end is deemed to be so lofty that it justifies the means. This is the same idea of fascism where the glorification of the state and the total subordination of the individual are enforced. In fascism, "the state is defined as an organic whole into which individuals must be absorbed for their own and the state's benefit. This 'total state' is absolute in its methods and unlimited by law in its control and direction of its citizens." [[The Columbia Encyclopedia, Sixth Edition. 2001](#)]

Muslims consider themselves to be the "guided people" and everyone else as kafir and fuel for hellfire. Their properties, their lives and even their wives are halal to Muslims. The people of the Book can buy their security by paying Muslims a protection fee called Jizyah but if they stop that payment, they too would be fought against and destroyed.

Like Satanists, and the skinhead neo-Nazis, Muhammad did not

believe in the Golden Rule. He instructed his followers to lie to their victims to win their trust and when they lower their guards, kill them. He sent one of his disciples to the Meccans and to the Bani Quraiza and told him to lie to both groups and to deceive them. Then he added: **“war is a game of deception”**. Couldn't a man who was capable of lying, assassinating his opponents, massacring his captives of war and causing sedition to advance his malicious designs, lie about being a prophet of God? Once it is established that he broke the Golden Rule and lied and encouraged lying on several occasions, why should we believe him when he claims to be a messenger of God?

Muhammad raided innocent civilians with no warning, butchered the men and took the women and children as slaves and then he allowed his men to rape the women. How do Muslims like to be treated in this way? What Muhammad did was against the Golden Rule. But Muslims have no regards for the Golden Rule. They question the legitimacy of this universally acclaimed principle. They think it was okay for Muhammad to kill non-Muslims, rape their wives and enslave their children, but it is not okay for non-Muslims to do the same to Muslims. If this is not a satanic religion, in what ways does it differ? Muslims should tell us what criteria they use to determine that Muhammad was a messenger of God.

In one of my debates with a [leader of the Islamic sect of Submitters](#), I quoted a few verses from the Quran switching the places of “Muslims” and “non-Muslims”. This is what we got:

We will cast terror into the hearts of Muslims. Therefore strike off their heads and strike off every fingertip of them. [8:12](#),

Let not the non-Muslims take for friends or helpers the Muslims. [3:28](#),

Rouse the non-Muslims to the fight against Muslims. [8:65](#),

Then fight and slay the Muslims wherever ye find them, [9:5](#),

Fight the Muslims, and God will punish them by your hands,

cover them with shame. [9:14](#),
O ye the non-Muslims take not for protectors your fathers and
your brothers if they love Islam. [9:23](#),
O ye the non-Muslims! Truly the Muslims are unclean. [9:28](#),
O ye non-Muslims! fight the Muslims who gird you about, and
let them find firmness in you. [9:123](#),
Therefore, when ye meet the Muslims, smite at their necks; At
length. [47:4](#),

The gentleman with whom I was debating was infuriated. He called me “theo-fascist” and wrote: ‘His [Ali Sina’s] subhuman remark was the last, but a venomous drop that filled his cup of hatred and bigotry. He is not a person to reason with, since his mind is filled with toxic hate and his stomach is thirsty for fresh blood...’ All this vituperation because I quoted the verses of the Quran switching the places of “Muslims” and “non-Muslims”! Muslims see nothing wrong in the Quran but they do not like reciprocation. Clearly Islam is not in conformity with the Golden Rule.

One of the highest Sunni Muslim authorities, Sheikh Fawzi Zafzaf, president of the Interfaith Dialogue Committee of Al-Azhar University in Cairo , said his committee sent the request to the Pope on February 2005, demanding an “official apology on Christian crusades against the Muslim world”, the Morocco Times reported.

The demand arose from Pope John Paul II’s apologies to the Jewish people and his visits to Syria and Egypt a few years ago, Zafzaf said, “Al-Azhar is only asking for a similar treatment”.

Muslims can’t see the beam in their own eyes but can see the speck in the eyes of others. The crusades happened 900 years ago (1095 A.D). What about the Muslims’ crimes happening here and now? Has this Sunni high cleric apologized for the 9/11, for the massacre of Madrid , for the horrendous killing of children in Beslan or for any of the crimes perpetrated by his

jihadi brothers? What about the invasion of Europe and the occupation of Spain ? Where is the Muslim apology?

The West has nothing to apologize to Muslims. Thomas F. Madden, associate professor and chair of the Department of History at Saint Louis University and the author of "A Concise History of the Crusades" says, the Crusades "were in every way a defensive war."

"They were the West's belated response to the Muslim conquest of fully two-thirds of the Christian world," he wrote in a National Review column. "While the Arabs were busy in the seventh through the tenth centuries winning an opulent and sophisticated empire, Europe was defending itself against outside invaders and then digging out from the mess they left behind. Only in the eleventh century were Europeans able to take much notice of the East."

According to Madden, the event that led to the crusades was the Turkish conquest of most of Christian Asia Minor, the modern Turkey .

"The Christian emperor in Constantinople faced with the loss of half of his empire, appealed for help to the rude but energetic Europeans. He got it. More than he wanted, in fact," wrote Madden.