

A Citizen for All Seasons

by [Carl Nelson](#) (February 2021)



Winter Landscape, Valerius de Saedeleer

Well, here we are, cancelled by the media, courts and finally our own representatives. There are closed avenues for our shared grievances to be heard, no court to hear them argued, and no legislation to address them. We, in effect, have been tossed out of our own home by interlopers who have proceeded to toss out our traditions, our constitution, our heritage,

even our land itself, leaving the doors wide open for strangers to pour through. Is it a far stretch to imagine that soon even our possessions and our right to complain will be confiscated, and soon thereafter we will be 'cancelled'? Or perhaps our body parts will be sold off like those of the Chinese dissidents and Uyghurs. There being a lot of money in this, I'd bet on this latter as soon as the global entities can apportion the spoils and the liabilities contained in an LLC.

On a good note, I don't intend to go along.

This is the stuff wars are made of. In retrospect everything is foreseeable, or at least we presently hold the podium. So from this podium I'll hold that our current situation was foreseeable also. History has demonstrated that a person must truly be "a man for all seasons." But success in nearly every endeavor, it would seem, has lulled us from this demanding truth. It seemed that we need be only men for the balmy spring, sunny summer and ripe fall. It seemed that being a good citizen, parent, and hard workers who are ambitious for a better future were to be all that was required for a political system designed well as ours to turn perfectly. Differences would be those of opinion and hashed out in the marketplace of ideas. The best would by design lead us. And our Constitution and Bill of Rights would form the barriers to dictatorial power with its ravenous appetites. If we all played fair, all would be well. And the rules were set so that we would all play fair.

Benjamin Franklin, upon leaving the Constitutional Convention was asked what sort of government they were planning. And he famously replied, "A republic, if you can keep it." The problem with rules, especially fairly obtained and judicious ones, is that they are naturally all out there to be broken, criticized, humiliated, ignored, belittled ... Anything bad you can do to another human most people at one time or another will relish doing to a rule—even down to

selling them off in part or portion. (If you wonder why we have so many lawyers, simply drive down any road at the speed limit and see how many times you have become an obstruction.) This makes such a body vulnerable to other actors without rules or—in the modern way—rules which are interpreted to mean various things including their exact opposites depending upon the prevailing whims of power. (Consider how the Civil Rights Act morphed into Affirmative Action.) Whereas the ‘ruled’ are constrained, the latter are not. It’s a common folklore that the naïve person of goodwill believes that the rule of law will protect them; that the truth of their case will defend them, and that other people of goodwill will judge them. The worldly find this winsome outlook either tragic or laughable.

“The two hemispheres of this country are inseparably intertwined. They are co-joined twins. Neither can leave without killing the other,” stated Tucker Carlson. He is fairly close. There is no secession to this problem. In a practical sense, can we separate the coasts from the heartland or the cities from the rural? No, the problem is made of a much finer weave. But a contention that both the Left and the Right share is that one of these “twins” is a parasite.

What we must separate are the invasively narcissistic from those who will abide by rules. It is like removing a tapeworm from your gut. Likely the parasite will die. So be it. People who can agree upon rules of conduct and then discipline themselves to adhere to them, can create value, they can build. People who cannot adhere to rules, who use rules only for their coercive properties, cannot build value, they cannot build civilizations, they are parasites. They bring ruin. And they need to be sh*t out. Our government is suffering from a severe impaction.

To do this, people of good faith are going to have to find the winter season within themselves. Winter is when the pagan world dies away, but the religious endure. Nature demands that there is a ritual elimination of the old and

corrupt so that the new can begin again. Our religion is what gets us through "the winter of our discontent." Frankly, our discontent harbors currently in our most sacred parts, and we must "clear the temple."

Fine, as far as we go, but everywhere I listen reasonable people are stalled. We realize the Left must be stopped. We know that the Left cannot stop themselves. (The definition of what we might call being 'unhinged'.) We have found argument fruitless; reasoned disagreement impossible. The situation has fed upon our feckless inabilities to stem it, until we are now in a situation of war. So, how do we fight this war?

Before the results of our recent Presidential election, I had followed the polls with horror and disbelief. Surely, this couldn't be? Who is their right mind would vote for the incredulities that the current Democratic Party now proposes, or a candidate so mentally compromised that he hides in his basement from the public and periodically speaks incoherently? Who would think such a candidate could ever form or even run an Administration, let alone negotiate with our adversaries? The Left has long held that it is money and propaganda which control the electorate. Well, this seems true of *their* electorate. However, the unheralded golden news of this past election has been that a landslide of the electorate judge more from their experience than from what the media feed them. They prefer their own intelligence over processed information. Prior to the election, I had resigned myself to the conclusion that if the majority of Americans could be persuaded by the media to embrace the most ludicrous of options, then so be it. There is no winning against what seemed to be the evolving nature of our existence.

But just the opposite proved to have happened. The great majority of Americans can still think independently of what they are told, can examine their experience and reach an independent decision. We are not a majority of sheep. And

sound bites and advertisement does not have us by the nose. This is the golden news of our recent Presidential election.

The bad news, of course, is that the election was stolen. The will of 75 million citizens was cancelled through the overwhelming influence of the media and our collaborating institutions. It was stolen in broad daylight through fraudulent means which had been constructed right before our eyes and pointed out so in the years preceding. Currently this fraudulence has been paraded around in the media and has strolled through our corridors of power as the Emperor's New Clothes. Even some of our most trusted conservative arbiters of thought have nodded to the new fashions of this season – so as to remain within the Overton window—and gone Vichy on us.

Reasonable people in my circles wonder what can be done? Now that the Democrats control the House, Senate and Presidency through a fraudulent election, it's foolish to think that what they have done once successfully, they won't do again. And if they do 'reform' our elective process it will naturally be to induce *more* fraud. Simple common sense predicts this. We find ourselves in a war. So conservatives wonder, what can be done?

I would contend, the first thing people must do to fight a war is to pursue it. Formerly we had pursued the cultural wars through dialogue and argument, but these are being closed to us. Conservative language will get you 'cancelled,' both professionally and socially. We have tried to fight the war politically, but now the Left controls our legislatures and judiciary through fraudulence and cultural hegemony. We had hoped the wheels of commerce would have bent them to our way of thinking, but instead our Left, with the help of the Chinese Communists, have co-opted our corporations to join their bidding. Currently our Deep State Controllers are monolithic as a feudal tyrant squatted in the center of his fiefdom in an impenetrable castle. Even President Trump could not dislodge them, and now he is being replaced by

cutouts, so that we have no idea who will be actually running the country. Nor do we really know who is manufacturing our news. Everything is figureheads and flashing images. What do we do?

Historically, when an opponent has ensconced themselves within an impenetrable fortress the attack taken is to starve them out. When they send out small sorties for food and supplies you attack those. Conservatives tend to build and create value which the Left confiscates ("redistributes") for their own betterment. The Conservatives (or Deplorables) now are in much the position of the feudal serfs who provided the taxes and food upon which the castle inhabitants fed. And the fatter they grow, the more they want and the more demanding they became.

It seems certain that to defeat the Left (which typically fortifies itself within impenetrable bureaucracies), we must starve it. Laws will not constrain it. The Left does not honor law. Rather it ravishes the law, subverting and feeding upon it. Giving the Left legislative power is like feeding them virgins. Examine the large Blue cities and states. They are 'fixing' things by passing more laws than ever. There are certainly more laws and regulations a Chicago citizen must follow, than those citizen in rural areas. And how is this working for them?

But like anything, tyrannies must eat. This determines our strategy of containment. Short term we must punish those actors who enforce the Deep States acquisitiveness. But what kind of punishment? The best punishment is the one which best works. The best punishment is the one which promotes the best deterrence. So what kind of punishment should we administer, and how should we administer it?

Well, far from the answer being hard to find; it will often insist upon itself. The truth is insistent if it is anything.

We are told that "Violence is not the answer." Why are we told that so often, threatened even? Perhaps, because *au contraire*! Violence and intimidation are precisely the answer. Why does the nation possess and armed forces and either use or threaten to use them? Why do the police have weapons? Why are there jails? It's because violence and intimidation and the final, practical means of establishing law and order. This is something reality is trying to show us, but we won't look. And our words lack power because we won't allow them to wield it. We refuse to be men of the winter season. Antifa and BLM actually had to lead us onto the floor of the Congress. How much hand holding do we need?

But perhaps the best deterrence is not in violence. Perhaps the best deterrence is almost comical, but we do not have the eyes to see. Or perhaps we had best honor the thought of another Donald (Rumsfeld):

"You go to war with the army you have, not the army you might want or wish to have at a later time."

74 million is a large crowd. So, I suggest that we seek the answer through crowd sourcing. We look for what seems to work—or we create something we think will work—and share it. How do we share it? *Not by arguing our point or assembling followers—but by taking that action.* By acting entirely upon our own volition, we act invisibly. I suggest that this is the best method of acting so as to avoid bringing the full force of a corrupted state down upon us. How are we invisible? We tell no one. We reveal our actions to no one. There are seventy-five million of us. We are everywhere. We look just like every other American. We take the day off, if need be. We strike. We go back to work. This would consist of one 'Tour of Duty.' Award yourself a medal, or a patch! Go ahead, virtue is its own reward.

We work entirely autonomously. We strike only once, since a single actor taking a single action upon a large pool

of seemingly random targets is very hard to apprehend. Even the unhinged can react to stimuli. If a paramecium can react to light—so can the unhinged Progressive. (They have it in them! They just need enabling.) Imagine the Left under 75 million autonomous threats of unknown nature, but which they begin to understand is precipitated by them heading a wrong direction. If “once burnt, twice shy,” then being burnt 74 million times is bound to wear on an oppressor. Just like a paramecium, their instinct will be to recoil and turn.

Let’s face facts: conservatives in all of their aspects are being made criminal by fraudulently installed force. History has found the vigilante both an honorable and a useful objection to unresponsive power. The state’s prerogative is to control vast swaths of humanity. And in our current day, the state has gotten quite good at it, and is almost to the point of manufacturing both the reality we see and the response we give to it. But though they can control vast swaths of humanity, they cannot control an individual they cannot locate and never will.

Americans are a community of proudly independent citizens. Let’s just act like it.

[Table of Contents](#)

Carl Nelson has recently published his newest Self Help Book, *The Poet’s (30 Year) Marriage Plan*, which is a useful collection of interlarded poems and prose advice (schemes),

all celebrating the hallowed institution of marriage. To learn more about the author and peruse his work, please visit