

A Mirabilyary Of The Passing Parade: Antidisenfranchisementarians

by **Cynicus Americanus** (November 2016)



A Mirabilyary:

Signs And Wonders of The Devolution Of Man And The Decline Of Western Civilization In The Time Of Obama In The Age Of the Gnostics In A Republic of Dunces, A Federation of Twits, An Accommodation Of DumbAsses.

And The Rise Of The Criminally Insane Class

“As long as they do not take him seriously, the man who says the truth can live for a while in a democracy. Then, the hemlock.”

– Don Colacho (Nicolás Gómez Dávila)

Antidisenfranchisementarians

or,

You Know Something I Don't?

"A society of sheep must in time beget a government of wolves."

– Bertrand de Jouvenal

Antidisenfranchisementarian noun Political

1. Party man, establishmentarian, whose equalitarian magnanimity will not allow anyone to be disenfranchised from the democratic vote and, born of the same self-righteousness, would enfranchise ever more of the unqualified.

2. proponent and defender of idiocracy and kakistocracy.

The Informed Voter

Most all know a few things, some few know a lot of things, some more, lot more it seems, know everything, the mass know, at most, one thing.

Young women know only what they want and sing songs of what they really, really want. Young men know they want... you know. We all want, basically, the same thing; the same thing gets old fast. You want something else; he wants something different from my different. Next thing you know, some slicker with the moniker Barack Hussein Obama is on a ballot – in America. Democracy is rarely dull but hardly ever this exciting.

Should it become general knowledge, that somewhere, some saps had voted themselves out of existence, Democracy will be less regarded than it is even now. Democracy may have been the worst form of government that was the best we had to the extent we had not contaminated it yet with universal suffrage. Well, that hadn't lasted long.

We have voted into office the most magnanimous – with other people's credit – profligate squanderers that had ever existed and in numbers formidable. We had voted for twenty trillions of debt. We had voted for the animosity of soon most of the young who are now compelled to contribute generously to their elders' late years ease – and medications. The obligation was handed down to them by career pols indifferent to all things not them, and defiant of simple

arithmetic. We had voted for the indebtedness of our progeny even before their incipency. We had voted to have them pay it off, slough it off, or repudiate it – understandably – but to no credit to their reputation – should such nonsense still make an impression in another score of years.

Our lost faith in government – in democratic government – stems not from its seeming suspect on so very many counts but because of its obvious peccancy. As if that were not enough, we have this to concern us always:

“...the world is governed by very different personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes...”

– Prime Minister Benjamin “The Marrano” Disraeli in a speech to the British House of Commons, 1852

Any doubt of shadowy power and sway and manipulation is easily removed by the mass of evidence of it. Who the hell is George Soros and why hasn't someone... never mind.

The ruling elite, undeniably, is not an agent of the electorate. Its constituencies are the moneyed. It operates not in accordance with the will of the people or the Law of the Land, the compact that had delegated and enumerated authority and constraints. Whatever the influence, it imposes its will. Laws become mere pretense – not nearly what they purport to be and often the antithesis of it – see the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

Governance is nothing of the kind – it is social engineering by which everything is made political – thought, word, deed, trait, distinction, all elements of life. Our government is not ‘of, for, by, the people’, it is of, for, by the no-accounts without a sense of responsibility – either fiduciary, the making of war, or the deaths of innocents sacrificed to choice – and without a hint of compunction or pang of conscience. If we had any laws... well... any sense of right and wrong, the culprits would surely be dangling somewhere.

All democratic governments eventually lose all interest in all things representative of the electorate and concentrate all their attentions on governing, *i.e.*, controlling. There is nothing the government will not do for its own benefit, its extension, distention, and there is nothing, eventually, which will not fall under its purview.

Big Brother manages to evoke a chill down the spine but BIG GOV, well... it's just too big is all. The dots will not be connected so long as the default position is that it is necessary. We trust it to do A, B, C, why not D through Z.

The Government had surveyed the inhabitants of the hinterlands, the backwoods, and backwaters, and found a fundamental mistrust of government. The implication of the surveillance and inference from the people's distrust is, first, they are racist, sexist, and homo-, xeno-, Islamo-, phobes and overall know-nothings clinging to things yet legal but outré for this day and age. And second, that anti-conformist behavior falls under government's purview and is therefore subject to its remedies. *The government is now, above all else, securer and protector of the government from its disaffected citizens.* President Obama had repeatedly expressed his contempt for this constituency. He is not alone. He was not the first. He is the paradigm.

Government will ruin anything, either by incompetence, or purpose, to preserve its control of it, whether education, housing, healthcare, the poor, the minorities, the environment, employment, the country's finances, and foreign adventures. Government sees itself, as also do too many of the electorate, as the solver of problems, the easer of plights, the annihilator of obstacles, the exterminator of injustice, chief proponent and guarantor of equality, provisioner of stability, protector of the put upon, soother of angst, inveterate and itinerate peacekeeper, and the world's greatest exporter of democracy... or bullshit, as you please.

If Government feels your pain, odds are 3-1 it, and not nature, had caused it. It's even money that government constitutes a greater force majeure than natural disasters.

Next time the government is seen as relinquishing power will be the first time in the history of this nation. If they will not relinquish it voluntarily, it ought be taken from them. Until that time it matters little as to who runs for what and which Party is in control when it's the "Government" that's in control. To vote, under these circumstances is to willfully partake in a pretense far more idiotic than what had been passed off as elections in the old Soviet. Then, the Politburo knew, Izvestia knew, Pravda knew, the Comrades knew, everyone knew. Here, no-one knows. If voting didn't matter who would participate in the charade? Other than those fond of treacherous schemes and signaling they are on

the right side of history? They also vote who whistle past the graveyard in some hope democracy will remedy what it had corrupted.

Universal Suffrage²

We suffer women's suffrage, idiots' suffrage, parasites' suffrage; pimp daddy's vote, mama's without a papa vote, Judas Iscariot's vote. We are in for more suffering. If the present chaos does not strongly suggest that the franchise had been too wildly extended and that had contributed, though not exclusively, to our predicaments, then we are beyond being warned. Limiting both female (generally), and male (non-stakeholders) franchise might... if the limitations could be imposed... but they cannot... barring a revolutionary return of events... so why bother speculating. We are beyond universal suffrage; we once again extend the franchise. How get beyond "universal"?

G. K. Chesterton had observed near a century ago that the franchise was always expanded when the governing class was up to scheming over something; ultimately, inevitably, greater power and extended purview.

Search "undocumented aliens voting" and what else could be concluded from the results but that we enter now into new territory. Antidisenfranchisementarians have determined that the time is right for extending the franchise to unconditional suffrage. The anchor baby contretemps is made moot in one fell swoop. Citizenship accounts as nothing. One need only be existent and within the national border and the milk and honey are yours if you will but vote to have them. Democracy is nearly finished; finished finishing us off.

Headline of the near future – Torpid American middle class is mounted by exotic tumescent welfare class – expires gasping quietly.

Mamas Don't Let Your Babies Grow Up To Be Governors

Four of the last seven governors in Illinois have done hard time for corruption. There would have been five but a governor back in the fifties had been indicted but not convicted.

Rod Blagojevich

George Ryan

Dan Walker

Otto Kerner

“Democrat” is all the bona fides a politician needs in Illinois. Anyone wishing to do “business” with the State rests easy approaching a Democrat with a proposition. That’s not to say you have to be a Democrat. George Ryan was a Republican shoe-in in a Democratic State. How had he managed it? In the midst of electioneering for the governorship he was being investigated for political corruption. That was all an Illinois voter needed to hear. Here was evidence of Ryan’s viability. He won the election, served one term, chose not to run for a second, was indicted found guilty and sent to prison.

If there’s another state out there that can boast of more extensive corruption I have yet to hear of it. We Win! We’re #1.

From Washington, Adams, Jefferson, Madison, to Bush, Clinton, Bush, Barry/Barack Hussein Soetero/Obama. Think on that. Dwell on it. Let the basil ganglia spin that about. Any vertigo? Feel like upchucking? Empiricists and Phenomenalists have joined together; they agree on a unified theory of devolution. $D=CI^2$ (Devolution equals Choices times Ignorance squared.)

Voting Is A Mug’s Game

Appearances are not always as they appear and less so every passing day. Voting has had no good effect for some time. Not only had it not reversed the course of our national decline, not only had it not stayed our decline, it could barely slow it down when it might be in that mood.

One Party rule is the only eventuality in modern democracies; two or more Parties exist only to galvanize the “choice” gene. Grownups play-acting at voting in a democracy have no more a part in moving the country than the election of high school senior class president has to running the daycare center for adolescents.

What obligation has the sane man to modern democracy, the democratic process, the democratic electoral majority, the plurality of the population? None. The sane man need not jeopardize his sanity, by playing along. He need not deny reality to appease fancy. He need not dismiss reason for rationalization.

Any national election process in any country, even one so large and multifarious

as the United States, that takes most all of an entire year and several billion dollars to execute a preference for an executive is not seriously involved in self-government. It is flim-flam and scam. Billions of dollars collected from contributors and redistributed to media networks, various outlets, and consultants. It's the new trickle down economics. Enrich the MSM and the consultant industry and eventually it trickles on down to maids, busboys, waiters, bartenders, button makers, sign makers, phone answerers, pollsters, canvassers, getters out of the vote.

Three Things Indispensable For Democracy To Work

There must be a free and vigorous "press" as scrutineer of all of government and its officials. The conveyance of matters relevant to the operation of government from all viewpoints is necessary for a democracy. Should the means of conveyance end up, by whatever practice or tactic, a monopoly of one perspective the monopoly should be broken. The MSM must be destroyed – start with PBS. Pushing the narrative is the job of Party organs and hacks. The default position of a legitimate press ought be "the government is suspect."

There must be an informed and virtuous citizenry. Millions of voters need to be disenfranchised. Everyone is not informed, most all are ill informed, few are virtuous. Basic literacy is no more pertinent to electoral participation than it is helpful in reading Kierkegaard. Simply put, any stakeholder may vote; anyone holding a redistributed dollar may not.

The Government must be legitimate. No government is legitimate that will defy natural law. No government is legitimate that will criminalize the non-crime. Human nature is not criminality; instinctive desire is not criminality; an inclination and preference for kindredness is not criminality. No democratic government is legitimate that will undertake more than its charter allows. No rulings by the government in favor of the government are legitimate.

The quadrennial kabuki that is a national election is just a few days off. At this point I have nothing more germane to say than to quote the man who'd grasped the situation of our predicament some forty years ago:

"And the voice said to me: 'We're not talking about eternal Truth or absolute Truth or ultimate Truth. We're talking about impermanent, transient, human truth. I don't expect you people to be capable of truth

but, goddamit, at least you're capable of self-preservation.'"

– Howard Beale (Peter Finch, *Network*, screenplay by Paddy Chayefsky)

I have my doubts.

It's My Party And I'll Cry If I Want To

"Everybody already knows that when you vote Left, you get Left, and that when you vote Right, you get double Left."

– Moshe Feiglin, Israeli politician

"And when you vote for a woman you get triple Left."

– Cynicus Americanus, parade and woman watcher

What had the Conservatives profited by their support of one "conservative" on offer from the GOP after another? You think these disappointments new? How often must it be repeated? There is nothing new under the sun. What is old, what is historic, makes no impression, it just flounders about, annoying the mindless, and heedless. Not only do we not learn from history we will pay it no mind.

Herewith, R. L. Dabney (1820 – 1898) Christian theologian, Confederate States Army Chaplain, and architect. Also chief-of-staff and biographer to Stonewall Jackson. This appears a century before the only thing that ever mattered to us emerged...us. The time is the late nineteenth century, the topic – conservatives (and -ism), the context, suffering women's suffrage, the tag: *plus ca change, plus c'est la meme chose*:

"It may be inferred again that the present movement for women's rights will certainly prevail from the history of its only opponent, Northern conservatism. This is a party which never conserves anything. Its history has been that it demurs to each aggression of the progressive party, and aims to save its credit by a respectable amount of growling, but always acquiesces at last in the innovation. What was the resisted novelty of yesterday is today one of the accepted principles of conservatism; it is now conservative only in affecting to resist the next innovation, which will tomorrow be forced upon its timidity and will be succeeded by some third revolution; to be denounced and then adopted in its turn. American conservatism is merely the shadow that follows Radicalism as it moves

forward towards perdition. It remains behind it, but never retards it, and always advances near its leader. This pretended salt bath utterly lost its savor: wherewith shall it be salted? Its impotency is not hard, indeed, to explain. It is worthless because it is the conservatism of expediency only, and not of sturdy principle. It intends to risk nothing serious for the sake of the truth, and has no idea of being guilty of the folly of martyrdom. It always, when about to enter a protest, very blandly informs the wild beast whose path it essays to stop, that its "bark is worse than its bite," and that it only means to save its manners by enacting its decent role of resistance. The only practical purpose which it now subserves in American politics is to give enough exercise to Radicalism to keep it "in wind," and to prevent its becoming palsy and lazy from having nothing to whip. No doubt, after a few years, when women's suffrage shall have become an accomplished fact, conservatism will tacitly admit it into its creed, and thenceforward plume itself upon its wise firmness in opposing with similar weapons the extreme of baby suffrage; and when that too shall have been won, it will be heard declaring that the integrity of the American Constitution requires at least the refusal of suffrage to asses. There it will assume, with great dignity, its final position."

At This Point What the Hell Difference Does It Make?

At the end of the tale:

"The other animals hear laughter and singing from the farmhouse. They sneak up to look in through the windows at what's going on. They see the pigs and the humans in happy concord, making flattering speeches and toasting each other.

The creatures outside looked from pig to man, and from man to pig, and from pig to man again: but already it was impossible to say which was which."

– George Orwell, *Animal Farm* (a poli-philosophic fable for humans on the rationalizations to power.)

A century and a quarter later, Conservatism wheezes, splutters. The death rattle is next. Conservatism will have had a long and undistinguished life. It will be waked by a sparse few. Its eulogy will be overly respectful. The cortege will be short. May God spare Ms. Clinton her illness and physical distress; may He

mercifully finish off Conservatism.

13 Reasons Of Forty Not To Vote...

... And 1 Reason Of Two For Voting

Men, whites, heterosexuality, marriage, merit, Christianity, patriotism, work, obligations, have all been relegated to the dustbin of history.

I should vote for this?

The answer to the question of which came first, the “entitled” or the “State” is evident – the entitled appeared only after the State started making provision for them. The franchise “entitled” expands as the government invites anyone to make a quid pro quo bargain – dollars for votes. This of course is not, as they say, sustainable. That, of course, is neither here nor there.

I should vote for this?

The housing bubble was not the making of renters and squatters hankering to mortgage themselves over their heads, but the making of the State. The banksters were thrilled to oblige. Such a situation is the hallmark of “making money” fantasies – the banksters could win but never lose. In the event their bets went wrong they would invoke the PAP-LAP clause of their agreement with the State – Profits are private, losses are public. The clause has been extended to protect other than bankers; it is generally known as the “too big to fail” principle.

I should vote for this?

Support for gay “marriage” did not spring organically from 3% of the population, half of them, at least, having, initially, no interest in “marriage.” The revolution to overthrow nature’s determinacy and replace it with Gnostic pansexual urges is as unnatural as deviancy gets. The State has signed on to this revolution as an ally, the primary ally; they have agreed to provision, promote, and impose it. The State is at war with nature and nature’s God.

I should vote for this?

The very nature of legitimate law is that it not abrogate natural law; that it is in keeping with it always. The law failing to meet the standard is

illegitimate. The government that imposes the illegitimate law with all the apparatuses of its coercive power is illegitimate; it is, in effect, in rebellion over its natural agency. For the Christian and anyone else sentient, "Render unto Caesar" presumes Caesar is legitimate authority. It assumes he is on the side of natural law. It presumes Caesar is sane, has sworn an oath of office in keeping with the natural order and is not a proponent of chaos. We find we are not only under the aegis of our founding documents but also natural law and by the provision of either or both we have the not only the right but an obligation to overthrow the illegitimate State.

The State daily expands its power over a greater expanse of what constitutes just "living." This is the natural tendency of the totalitarian. To simply "live" is self-centered, it suggests apartness, criticism, even resistance. To simply "live" makes you a suspect. To be unconnected to the "other," to the objective, to the greater, to the State, is to deny the primacy of the greater over the lesser. This tendency to the totalitarian is presently most evident in the way Islam* operates. Everything is subject to the judgment "halal" (permitted), or "haram" (not permitted), down to what is thought, said, written, or eaten. The State has a paradigm it enthusiastically approves of and emulates by various measures of PoCo (as in loco) – political correctness.

**"Islam does have a policy embracing the happiness of this world...We believe that Islam is an all-embracing concept which regulates every aspect of life, adjudicating on every one of its concerns and prescribing for it a solid and rigorous order." – Muslim Brotherhood founder Hasan al-Banna*

That might have just as easily been said, and certainly thought, by anyone connected with most any level of government.

I should vote for this?

Who first had wondered "wouldn't this be a better country if we imported 3rd World /POCs" – Mexicans and Muslims foremost among them? Who was it that said "yes"? So the State has let in Hispanics, Somalis, Muslims, Third World IQs, high maintenance people of color, religious and cultural intransigence, intra-national tribal or sectarian disputes, ignorance of or hostility to classical liberal values, the permanently aggrieved, and yet more "entitled."

I should vote for this?

The Patriot Act, the expanded Patriot Act; the National Defense Resources Preparedness (NDRP) executive order; NDAA Sections 1021 and 1022, the FAA Reauthorization Act allowing expansion of drone activity in the continental US; the militarization of our the police under the 1044 Program; Homeland Security, and the [BLEEPin'] TSA with their fondlers and gropers, irradiations, humiliations, and worst of all – it's unmistakable message – this is the STATE, and you are ALL suspects.

I should vote for this?

We have twenty trillion, and rising, debt and the inevitable coming destruction of personal wealth by inflation, or the expromission of that debt onto future generations – without their consent. Either way, a massive theft/crime heretofore unimaginable had been pulled off – the crime of the millennia; immorality on so massive a scale... there is no scale to measure it.

I should vote for this?

Innocent citizens made poorer and/or criminal by liberally applied regulations of the illiberal EPA; John Corzine steals a billion and a half and walks free. Congress exempts itself from the laws it passes. There are now enough laws and regulations in all our codes to require every man and woman make their way through life daily with the aid of a lawyer and accountant on retainer. Hate speech laws, if some contingency required it, could make of every man and woman a readymade criminal. The limited and enumerated powers are now limitless and growing exponentially. "With five votes around here you can do anything." – Supreme Court Justice William Brennan

I should vote for this?

Wars, endless wars, rumors of war, military actions, military presence in hundreds of bases across the globe; a war against Serbian Christians for the establishment of a Muslim enclave – Kosovo. The last time this had been achieved an Islamic Turkish caliphate achieved it. Over a decade in Iraq has made Iraq safe for sectarian civil war. Over a decade in Afghanistan has made it safe for the Taliban. What have we learned? That the government is extravagant with American lives because they hold them cheap. What have those serving and sacrificing in the stans learned? The proper care and handling of the Qur'an and the proper direction in which to belch, piss, and pass gas – and that in the

case of injury, disability, mental stress, they will be left to the untender mercies of the VA.

I should vote for this?

Items for the reliquary: the Declaration Of Independence and the US Constitution. A permanent ruling class has arisen – we may be voting for Bushes and Clintons, and Kennedys and their like for generations to come. That, or the Executive office will become the province of minorities who will serve as token and totem – some of whom will be Constitutionally ineligible... forgot, it's in the reliquary – never mind. The State is now no more than a self-instituted oligarchy and the governing entities an endless array of bureaucracies and czardoms. It seems lost upon the daily more obtuse general public their continual low opinion of Congress reflects more on them than the venal, moral morons, and the treacherous lords they send there. The people are no longer educated – they are simply certified.

I should vote for this?

From Washington, Adams, Jefferson, Madison to Bush, Clinton, Bush, Barry/Barack Hussein Soetero/Obama. Think on that – again. Dwell a minute or two on it. Not too long or despair will set in. So we end up with an “American” thoroughly versed in communism learned at the knee of Frank Marshall Davis; thoroughly trained in the Alinsky method of “community organizing,” i.e., radical rabble rousing; well acquainted with Cloward-Piven; and a Marcuse Marxist who employs Marcuse's strategy of “Archemedian points” (leveraging “marginalized and outcast elements,” i.e., women, adolescents, immigrants, black and brown minorities, the handicapped, pansexual deviants, the criminal class, and psychos most likely to shoot up a school) for his personally destructive animus. And we end up with a “Christian” as earnestly Christian as he is American. All this would be deemed too horrifyingly unbelievable even for a nightmare – yet here we are.

I should vote for this?

1776 and that convocation of brilliant, courageous, generous men, the Founders and Framers, provided foundation and structure and brought into existence a nation the likes of which had never before been seen or imagined. Fifty years, and counting, of counter-revolution (the third since the Revolution), and laissez-faire morality and ethics, has all but destroyed it.

I should vote for this?

The malevolent, the deconstructionists and demolishers, the deviationists, their enablers, and the useful idiots, will have to do what they are intent on doing without my voting for it. If ever I stand before God it will be as a sinner.. not as an idiot who'd voted himself into consenting to be both slave and fool.

manus haec, inimica tyrannis – this hand, an enemy of tyrants.

For this I would vote.

Conclusion

Voting is now no more than a trust that a gesture is as good as a journey. To make one's way to the polls is to undertake a pilgrimage and pay one's respects to a god that failed.

Voting has no bearing on a society utterly corrupted, for such a society had already corrupted the process. Politics has no bearing on a society utterly corrupted, for such a society had already corrupted the associations and activities necessary for public affairs. The Law has no bearing on a society utterly corrupted for such a society had already corrupted the meaning of the Law. An official, disregarding his oath of office, takes onto himself free rein, the results of which are, irrefutably, impeachable offenses. The official will not be impeached owing to the fact his conviction is unlikely (political corruption); owing to the fact that he has been granted dispensational privilege (corruption of the law); owing to the fact he is sufficiently black (social corruption). Is it helpful, salutary in some way, to vote in an atmosphere that is too thin to support the public affairs that promote self-determination? I can't think of anything. We vote; and we mock ourselves with a public satire.

Finally (for the culture and social mores had already been utterly molested) but not irrevocably, the civic arrangement most pertinently expressed as – “ask not what your country can do for you, but what you can do for your country” – had been set on it's head. The great experiment had not been corrupted by cupidity – though that had played a role but more as consequence than first cause. Its corruption had been proposed, promoted, voted on, and passed. “We the people were not usurped by “we the government” – it had been put to a vote and the government won – fair, on the square and level – democratically.

Thank you democracy.

I take the end – here, now – to call upon the counsel of a wiser man, a counsel for all time and place:

“There is no qualification for government, but virtue and wisdom, actual or presumptive. Wherever they are actually found, they have, in whatever state, condition, profession or trade, the passport of Heaven to human place and honour. Woe to the country which would madly and impiously reject the service of the talents and virtues, civil, military, or religious, that are given to grace and to serve it; and would condemn to obscurity everything formed to diffuse lustre and glory around a state. Woe to that country too, that passing into the opposite extreme, considers a low education, a mean contracted view of things, a sordid mercenary occupation, as a preferable title to command. Everything ought to be open; but not indifferently to every man. No rotation; no appointment by lot; no mode of election operating in the spirit of sortition or rotation, can be generally good in a government conversant in extensive objects. Because they have no tendency, direct or indirect, to select the man with a view to the duty, or to accommodate the one to the other. I do not hesitate to say, that the road to eminence and power, from obscure condition, ought not to be made too easy, nor a thing too much of course. If rare merit be the rarest of all rare things, it ought to pass through some sort of probation. The temple of honour ought to be seated on an eminence. If it be open through virtue, let it be remembered too, that virtue is never tried but by some difficulty, and some struggle.”

– Edmund Burke, *Reflections on the Revolution in France*

Mr Burke’s scrutiny might as easily – more easily – been applicable to *Reflections on the Counter-Revolution in America*.

Empty the corridors of power. Scrub and disinfect its chambers and meeting places. That there be no doubt of the people’s resolve in this second American Revolution – hang the culprits. It is no less a condition to self-governance that common treachery be punished than rare merit pass through probation.

No people are condemned to corruption but at their final judgment. All humans have it in them to reform their lives, to pledge them to true virtue, and commit

to the restoration of a nation. If not NOW, then... too late.

Cynicus Americanus was born, had as marvelous and happy a childhood as could be imagined, went to school, got a job, and several more, retired, was torn between wiling away the time writing or exposing rubbish, gibberish, claptrap, balderdash, hogwash, baloney, rot, garbage, jive, tripe, drivel, bilge, bull, guff, bunk, piffle, poppycock, phooey, hooley, malarkey, hokum, twaddle, gobbledygook, codswallop, flapdoodle, hot air; bunkum, tommyrot, bullshit, and crap. He decided to merge the projects.

To comment on this article or to share on social media, please click