

# Progressives Butcher Children; Conservatives Butcher the South and History

by [Paul H. Yarbrough](#) (January 2024)



Approaching Thunder Storm– Martin Johnson Heade, 1859

*I will sing a new song unto thee, O God– Psalm 144:9*

The monster is out. Its call of the wild is a screech by the mind-inert television wannabe professors of pomposity and pretentiousness. It comes not from those who deliver like (maybe as) pretty people on the high school set of CNN, MSNBC, etc. (you know the usual bunch of 50-year-old teenagers).

There is no hope for that set of humanoid elements. They are lost in a spate of historical histrionics. The woods closed in around them long ago. Hansel followed Gretel's portraits because they are supposedly two "pees" in a pod. These mounted misinformation and artless "national" criers are plenty and pitiful. They are merely, but natural, the rot of life.

However, such is not the witch. This witch of evil is the collective progressives in conservative camouflage. These are the beasts. The Hugh Hewitts et al who claim John Calhoun is evil, perhaps even the Hillsdale hectors who pretend love of country; or, as well, the Fox Newsies who seemingly use books as doorstops so that they do not only gather dust.

The real point men of political posturing are of the unread tutors and such types usually heard on some talk network or some cosmetic news channel of the Fox-type doublespeak sorts: Mark Levin, Sean Hannity, Larry O'Connor, and on and on into the rotted nest of Republican professionals—hundreds perhaps thousands of them. Like termites and Democrats, they talk with their mouths full and do nothing but smack their food for thought while simultaneously painting self-portraits of rudeness and obliviousness. These same Trump pretenders are the heirs and political bloodlines of the Goldwater and Reagan pretenders (both of those candidates were backstabbed by their "supporters").

These sorts would lie to their own mothers. For those who know their daddies, they would salute with a forward-arched arm at 45 degrees, mimicking "Heil Abe." They often praise "democracy" and will most likely never understand "republic." Though, for God's sake, they constantly swear they are a republic, not a democracy.

Good grief, a guy such as Mark Levin calling someone a "stupid idiot" is first-rate for the "pot calling the kettle black"!

Their cosmetic history lessons are like the cheap makeup of

the rented whore. Wash it off, and the real face of history can be seen with the natural facial lines. That is, whatever it is, it is, and NOT what you think it should be. But if not with makeup, then with Deep State masks. They are of the same breed as those who conned Goldwater and Reagan.

Initially, Hansel laid down stones to mark the trail. Next, he foolishly laid down breadcrumbs. The damn birds ate the trail-like Yankee birds. The witch smiled.

Why Nikki Haley spouted the truth recently, one can never be sure. Politicians of character and honor are as rare as congressional thrift. But she dared blister as false in a conference the tattered canard that the "Civil War" (she did, erroneously, call it that) was "about" slavery.

No, the modern monster is what many people believe is the voice and political savior sacrament of what so many have fallen victim to and from whom they lay claim to hugs and kisses from "the conservative primordial" of something magically designed as "the American Dream."

Tragically, these folks have been led, like the aforementioned Hansel and Gretel, by real breadcrumbs (in an imaginary world). If someone could take them by the hand into the field of useful fictitious styles of the greats of yesteryear, such as Homer and other fellow old-timers, where fiction presents truth—*fabula est veritas*.

Like Homer, in the original sense of what he wrote was imagined as the "truth" i.e. it was written as a best guess without the help of modern historical research. The poetry simply aided in presenting a rhythmic presentation to the reader. He sought revelation of truth through myth, not some pre-Anno Domini free verse, simply to become a poet quizmaster of the Mediterranean world.

But these monster voices today cry out for history by The Brothers Grimm and not by Homer, poetic or not. After all, if

they are not going to understand Aristotle's theories on government, they sure as double damnation ain't going to understand Homer's historical disclosures.

Currently, the historical subject is what we have today in the brashness of many claims that Conservatives are those robust lovers of Lincoln and who claim Joe Biden is the worst president ever. Maybe Biden is no more than a thug, as his seedling, Hunter, and much of his family are. But if Homer were alive today and had access to the modern library he could write an epic poem about Lincoln that would make Biden look like no more than John Dillinger up against Al Capone.

Depending on recent polls, 40% of Southerners and 23% of those polled support the former oft- "Lost Cause." This is in an age of pervasive PUBLIC EDUCATION where the brainwashing of history students is almost formal. But the numbers above are a bit more than "only a few" in any school. And people are rethinking more about a subject that the posturing presentist have attempted to dictate.

More conservatives now realize that conservatism stands for a republican union—not a national state. People who believe in the "Lost Cause" stand and/or salute the Star-Spangled Banner—not a national anthem.

Perhaps more people are reaching back and studying history from original sources and not the silly presentism of the Dinesh D' Souza, Brian Kilmeade, or Mark Levin types—Republicans in Conservative clothing—and the original secession of 1776 and its future facsimile in the CSA. As well, these same students are going to sites like The Abbeville Institute and not to Fox Nation, with its pervasive presence of pseudo-historians writing their own jabberwocky. Those who reach back are digging up the true dirt on the Republican Party, which supported "no blacks" in the territories. Not "no slaves" in the territories.

Neither political party was especially for or against slavery in 1860. The Republicans aligned themselves with some abolitionists. Those who mostly wanted blacks expatriated. Lincoln's political bilge is filled with statements as such. Not only that but his offering bribe to the South of the Corwin amendment is pure politics. It stated for all south of the Mason Dixon Line to hear that he would accept the amendment if such passed.

The Democrats were split north and south and were indifferent, but knew that slavery would soon die under its own weight in any event.

The literature of history told from the South's point of view is pervasive. But few want to believe anything except that the South kidnapped blacks in order to get free labor and to practice cruelty. And the CSA declared war on the United States to keep this "system." This nonsense is perpetuated within and throughout every public school and most private ones to this day, although its foundation is weakening.

The general nonsense about states, particularly Georgia and Mississippi, making statements when they seceded about "standing on slavery" has deliberately been misinterpreted. The comments meant that the political parties outside the South wanted abolition and repatriation of negroes. Thus "freeing" massive numbers of poor, uneducated negroes to be scattered to the winds to die; or to be shipped back to Africa, such as in Liberia. If they remained with their owners, they could ultimately be freed through manumission, education, or the general collapse of slavery (which was happening worldwide outside Africa).

Consider that no slave ship ever sailed from a Southern port. In fact, they were all of Northern registry. The South had no ships. They were the agrarian society. The North, the navigation society. As a matter of fact, only 4-5% of the African slaves ended up in the American South. But how many

cities outside the American South were burned, looted, and pillaged by brutes like Grant and Sherman?

The great generals and statesmen of the South and their forebears were instrumental in forming and believing in an original republican union. The leadership of the CSA and the people of the South almost certainly had more forebears who signed the Declaration of Independence than the rest of the Union. It was in the spirit of this same Declaration, principally written by a Virginian, that the Southern states seceded.

Apart from that, all 13 of the original states ratified the Constitution with the understanding that they could leave if dissatisfied. Just as they had seceded from the governing body under the Articles of Confederation, And they never forgot the following:

*When in the course of human events it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.*

This is a fundamental statement to the world that any people have a right to secede.

"Power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely," Lord Acton. I offer more of Lord Acton. Writing to Lee after the Confederate surrender: "I mourn for the stake which was lost at Appomattox more deeply than over that which was saved at Waterloo." And Acton was no supporter of slavery. He did

support The Lost Cause.

From Dr. Clyde Wilson, Professor Emeritus of History at The University of South Carolina:

*When we speak about the causes of war should we not pay some attention to the motives of the attacker and not blame everything on the people who were attacked and conquered? To say that the war was "caused" by the South's defense of slavery is logically comparable to the assertion that World War II was caused by Poland resisting attack by Germany. People who think this way harbor an unacknowledged assumption: Southerners are not fellow citizens deserving of tolerance but bad people and deserve to be conquered. The South and its people are the property of the North to do with as they wish. Therefore, no other justification is needed. That Leninist attitude is very much still alive judging by the abuse I receive in print and by e-mail. The abuse never discusses evidence, only denounces what is called "Neo-Confederate" and "Lost Cause" mythology. These are both political terms of abuse that have no real meaning and are designed to silence your enemy unheard.*

The monster howls as it, hopefully, dies. *Deo Vindice.*

## [Table of Contents](#)

Paul H. Yarbrough has written for The Blue State Conservative, NOQ, The Daily Caller, American Thinker, The Abbeville Institute, Lew Rockwell, and more. He is the author of 4 [novels](#): *Mississippi Cotton*, *A Mississippi Whisper*, *Thy Brother's Blood*, and *The Yeller Rose of Texas*, in addition to

many short stories and poems.

Follow NER on Twitter [@NERIconoclast](https://twitter.com/NERIconoclast)