

The Three Blocs

by [Sean Bw Parker](#) (September 2024)



Duo with a Wolf Mask (Mihail Chemiakin, 1974)

There are three main global blocs in the 2020s, Western White Heterodox (WWH), Islamo-Sino-African (ISA), and the Globalists. This is of course a massive simplification, but the broad east-west, right-left divides of the 20th century have actually been gone since 1989, but not all seem to have noticed.

WWH comprises Western Europe, North America and Australasia; ISA comprises the Islamic states, China and the continent of Africa; the Globalists are geographically stateless, but operate between Switzerland, Brussels, Washington and others. All the blocs use the internet, which grew up as it did, as its chief tool of propaganda, using it to reach the young and mobile, while the older generations are used to the legacy media of television, radio and newspapers.

The Globalists see themselves as peacemakers, trying to conjoin the other two in a form of cashless neo-communism based on equal human rights, but they have a fidgety foe in their way: Nationalism (or patriotism if you prefer, there's no difference). Nationalists aren't a separate group, as they exist within the first two, and embody the spirit of human individualism.

This individualism is associated with capitalism and liberalism; the Globalists see these as an impediment to progress – unless they are in control of it. The Globalists are deeply embedded in WWH, but hardly at all in ISA, figuring in the ends-justify-the-means strategy of the revolutionary left that it's best to remove the WWH before working on the far more culturally staunch ISA.

The Globalists cultural policy since around 2010 has become known as 'the woke agenda', and seems to include systematically breaking down the differences between people, whether by mass migration or new cultural laws (such as free speech restrictions). Former World Economic Forum chair Klaus Schwab famously wrote a book about it called *The Great Reset*,

featuring the eating of bugs, fifteen-minute cities, net zero energy, open borders and a cashless society: 'You will own nothing, and you will be happy' – with the target date apparently being by 2030.

This erosion of the differences between people coincided with advances in trans medicine, allowing those who felt they had been born in the wrong body to 'transition' to what was claimed to be the opposite sex, via surgery, hormones, puberty blockers etc. Let alone the impact this had on women's rights, language became a frontline in the accompanying 'culture wars', with long existent ambiguities over how to use the pronouns 'they/them/their' being exploited by politically motivated linguists.

The raging trans debate had far less impact in ISA countries, who no doubt found it all rather amusing, since many were and are fatalistically preoccupied with their own internecine struggles. Russia, with its USSR-era strongman president Vladimir Putin, is like Israel and Japan implacable between the blocs, doing its own thing as usual. Russian Orthodox Christianity is the state religion, and it's taken very seriously, with little tolerance for Pride flags et al.

Indeed gay rights are an ongoing struggle for LGB people in that country, while Putin ignores what he sees as fripperies in countering what he sees as NATO expansion in Ukraine and his own Islamist problems in Chechnya (Russia is a historically multicultural landmass by default).

Meanwhile conservative-minded people in the WH countries angst over creeping progressivism undermining their own culture and values, with endless stories of Black Lives Matter, MeToo, Occupy, Just Stop Oil, 'conspiracy theories' – or political science, depending on your point of view – pumped daily by a polarised media, not given to patient nuance.

The Great Reset isn't actually a conspiracy theory, since

Schwab set out his plans in the book; but the Replacement Theory arguably is. The replacement theory holds that part of the neo-communist plan is to replace indigenous populations with those less aware of western judicial standards, thus making them easier to govern. Individual sovereignty, choice, free will etc have little use within this; and it's not as if the Globalists don't expect resistance.

Since the Globalists like to be seen as democratic, and democratic elections do just about survive, internet chat is permitted as are robust dissenting voices: but they are easily dismissed as far right or conspiracy theorists. If neither of those work they'll find some past indiscretion to amplify to create the status of 'sex offender'.

One aspect of the 2020s that the Globalists may not have been prepared for is the podcast revolution, whereby all manner of issues are discussed at whatever length the producers wish, promotable by social media shares or paid advertising pushes. With the sheer amount of information flying at everyone's noses on an hourly basis, the fact that none of the three main blocs need actually lie is forgotten in individual howls of exasperation.

The agendas are actually laid out here and there in books, articles, speeches, and the media narratives are even more blatant. In a post-truth media landscape however, anyone can call lie, then retract, or twist narratives depending on their political alignment.

[Table of Contents](#)

Sean Bw Parker (MA) is an artist and writer on justice reform. His ninth book, [*A Delicate Balance Of Reason*](#), is available here.

Follow NER on Twitter [@NERIconoclast](https://twitter.com/NERIconoclast)