

Victims of Privilege

The Boston Globe's Racist Anti-White Columnists

by [G. Tod Slone](#) February 2021)



Man With Newspaper (L'Homme au journal), René Magritte, 1928

A person of reason cannot intellectually embrace stereotypes and double standards, whereas a person of ideology can and does embrace them. The enemy of ideology is reason, and vice versa. *Boston Globe* "culture columnist" Jeneé Osterheldt is clearly and sadly (for journalism and culture) an embracer of ideology. Her column, "[criminalization of black Georgetown Law](#) found for Black boys, [4 times](#) as likely to be disciplined as white girls." Disciplined because of mere skin color (not wild behavior) in Massachusetts, a Democrat-Party stronghold? Osterheldt does not mention black crime at all. She simply mentions black victimization over and over again. "In Boston, where Black folk are a quarter of the city's population, we account for [White people now realize white supremacy is coming for them](#)." Its "lesbian activist" author Barbara Smith is purportedly an "independent scholar." Yet one must wonder how she can possibly consider herself to be "independent," when all she does is manifest full dependency on identity-politics ideology. I'd written several counter-essays regarding yet another racist privileged black female *Boston Globe* columnist, Renee Graham, including [Black Racists with Press Badges](#)." I'd also cartooned her with *Boston Globe* editor Brian McGrory (see "[The Journalists–Racism Here, Racism There, Racism Everywhere](#)"). McGrory, of course, refuses to publish my criticism of his stable of black-privileged columnists. Thus is journalism today.

In any event, Smith argues, regarding the protest at the Capitol, "Now that white people realize white supremacy is coming for them, they're paying more attention. It is good that white people have noticed that peaceful Black Lives Matter protestors are treated more harshly than white insurrectionists." And yet those peaceful BLM protestors behaved with Antifa proponents, as mentioned, as mob rioters and looters across the nation, inciting violence and destruction of millions of dollars of property. How can a

purported "scholar" reject such a reality? Also, "insurrection" is not necessarily the negative term the Democrat Party wants it to be. An "insurrection" against those seeking to destroy liberty can indeed be a positive term. Smith argues, "America will move closer to becoming a failed state" because of white privilege and purported systemic racism. In fact, isn't "failed state" precisely what she and Neo-Marxists are striving for and seemingly succeeding at creating? How does a "scholar" manage to do nothing but echo Democrat-Party talking points, including "mob attack on the Capitol orchestrated by white supremacists, fomented by the white nationalist president"? If Trump were indeed a white nationalist, why did he continue to deny that constant character assassination by Democrat-Party operatives? How precisely was he a white nationalist? If black nationalist BLM/Antifa proponents support Biden, does that automatically render Biden a black nationalist?

Logic and reason are the enemies of ideology, no matter how scholarly. Smith's name-calling, absence of concrete statistics, and echoing of proven lies hardly qualifies her as a scholar at all. She characterizes Trump as "unbridled bigotry," which actually seems more like an accurate portrayal of herself (psychological projection). She argues Trump portrayed Mexicans as drug dealers and rapists, implying ALL Mexicans. Yet he clearly did not imply that at all in his statement on illegal immigration. Just the same, some of them who cross into the US are indeed drug dealers and rapists and murderers. How can a scholar be so willfully blind? Smith argues, "It was obvious that 'Make America Great Again' meant 'Make America White Again'." Of course, the reality of MAGA was not that at all, but rather, for example, to bring back jobs, to stop bowing to the CCP, to stop rampant illegal immigration, to stop sending millions of dollars to American enemies like Iran, to decrease energy dependency on foreign nations, and to end the PC-Marxist takeover of the country. Smith excels at accusations without providing any

concrete proof of the assertions, as in “The fact that 74 million people witnessed four years of overt racism, anti-Semitism, misogyny, transphobia, homophobia, attacks on immigrants, corruption, and incompetence, yet voted for it to continue, points to how deeply embedded the ideology of white supremacy is.” Now, why didn’t she also mention Russia, Russia, Russia?

Smith concludes her hate-whitey screed with yet another lie, “The incoming Biden administration sees reconciliation and healing as priorities, so I will say it one more time: There will be no actual healing in this country until white supremacy is vanquished.” Clearly, Biden and his team are not at all into “reconciliation and healing”! If they were, they wouldn’t be impeaching Trump a second time, nor would they be belittling over and over again 74 million people as white supremacists. One fact Smith will never be able to digest is the fact that horrible white men created the Bill of Rights, unique on the planet, which enabled those like her, who hate America, to speak their minds in public with impunity in America. Sadly, the *Boston Globe* has become an incessant shoveling machine of filth in an effort to force an anti-freedom ideology down the throats of Americans.

Civil war, which Smith mentions, seems a lot more probable than unity in America, especially with those like her constantly bellowing racism at white Americans. The division is immense and ever growing.

[Table of Contents](#)

G. Tod Slone, PhD, lives on Cape Cod, where he was permanently

banned in 2012 without warning or due process from Sturgis Library, one of the very oldest in the country. His civil rights are being denied today because he is not permitted to attend any cultural or political events held at his neighborhood library. The only stated reason for the banning was “for the safety of the staff and public.” He has no criminal record at all and has never made a threat. His real crime was that he challenged, in writing, the library’s “collection development” mission that stated “libraries should provide materials and information presenting all points of view.” His point of view was somehow not part of “all points of view.” He is a dissident poet/writer/cartoonist and editor of [The American Dissident](#).

Follow NER on Twitter [@NERIconoclast](#)