

Big Losers of Election 2024 – “Celebrities”

From Roger L Simon

Am I the only one who has had enough of so-called “celebrities” to last a lifetime or six?

I have begun to think of them as a form of pollution. We are constantly bombarded with their views on everything that are almost never of the slightest depth or value.



Oprah Winfrey, a woman we have been subjected to as long as most of us have been alive, has just informed us that if we don't vote for Kamala there may not be another election.

Is she lying or has she had a lobotomy? (Winfrey was formerly a fan of Donald Trump—go figure.)

On the Republican side, does anyone seriously vote because of the views of Hulk Hogan? Do we really want to see him in connection to a presidential campaign.

I am a free speech absolutist, but if I had my druthers the one thing I would ban would be the NY Post's Page Six.

But speaking of the Post, their lead online article of today – [High-profile Dem adviser makes bleak Kamala Harris admission on left-leaning CNN as voters head to polls](#) – said this:

“Veteran lefty political analyst Van Jones said he is ‘nervous’ about Vice President Kamala Harris’ chances at the polls Tuesday – after her campaign focused on out-of-touch celebrity endorsements.

“Jones, a former special adviser to President Barack Obama, said Harris’ ‘star-studded’ campaign events in the days leading up to the election felt eerily similar to the final days of Hillary Clinton’s failed White House bid in 2016.

“The political analyst admitted he was skeptical that her final swing-state push, which has featured celebs like Katy Perry, Lady Gaga and Oprah Winfrey, will actually convince working-class people to vote blue.”

Working-class people? How about just about anybody with an IQ in the proverbial triple digits?

I note they omitted those other great political scientists in support of Kamala–Cardi B and Beyoncé. Also missing is former Sean “Diddy” Combs consort J Lo, also a Kamala advocate.

The incredibly nauseating tale of Combs’ massive child abuse looms over all celebrities, those that attended his notorious “after parties” and those who didn’t or say they didn’t. What percentage of those people vote Democratic in tribal fashion? 98 or 99?

I cringe when Trump—a celebrity himself—relies on these people, but at least he discusses the issues, in fact at great length.

Kamala avoids them and hides behind these people apparently so she doesn’t have to discuss the issues.

Many think that is because she is disguising the unpopular views –open border, defund the police, no fracking, free gender surgery for prisoners, men in women’s sports, etc.–she once espoused.

No doubt, but it may be worse than that. It may be that the nature of our politics is that she doesn’t know what she actually thinks anymore.

Never a remotely articulate person—in itself an indication of

intellectual confusion— she has herself morphed into the emptiest of celebrities. This makes her a perfect vessel for those operating behind the scenes. With her vice-presidential candidate, they comprise a Deep State dream of political obscurantism for potential manipulation of the public. This has been going on for some time.

Now I have to admit there are celebrities I admire—those of serious accomplishment like, most obviously, Elon Musk. I need not rehearse all those achievements here, the most extraordinary of which is that he is personally leading every nation state in the area with the most potential to advance the human race into the future—space travel.

But should we vote for a presidential candidate because such a person supports him? Well, yes and no, but finally yes.

Unlike Cardio B or J Lo, who would perform, at best, a reelection fundraiser in a Harris administration, the team of Musk, RFK Jr. and Tulsi Gabbard are likely real participants in the next Trump administration. They will have real responsibilities to take our nation and the world forward.

Therefore they merit our attention.

Does this make me a hypocrite? A bit. Perhaps I should have said “entertainment celebrities.” That would have been more accurate.

First published in [American Refugees](#)