


Crime of the Century?

By Bruce Bawer

If my father had been alive, I wouldn't have done it. He was a doctor who had a diverse background in medical research, medical writing and editing, both private and hospital practice, and pharmaceutical advertising, and he was always exceedingly wary about treatments that he considered unnecessarily dangerous or insufficiently tested. When my pediatrician wanted to have my adenoids taken out, he said no, and whenever I went to the dentist he wouldn't let the guy give me novacaine.

But my father wasn't alive when COVID came along, and so I got the damned Pfizer jab – twice – without giving it much thought at all. In retrospect I feel like a fool. I've long since been aware of just how much political propaganda we're fed by the legacy media. And my dad, who worked closely with drug companies, taught me not to have any illusions about them. But even though I recognized the idiocy of the mask mandates and the six-foot distancing rule and other elements of COVID theater, it didn't occur to me, I guess, that the corporate media and Big Pharma might team up with the Deep State to push life-threatening drugs on the whole world, and to impose severe punishments upon those relatively few brave souls who dared to turn them down.



Anyway, we went through the pandemic, and then it ended, and now it can seem almost as if none of it ever happened – the enforced long-term isolation, the destruction of small business and jobs and interruption of schooling, the mass violation of individual rights, and the mass demonization of vaccine skeptics. Anthony Fauci and countless others at the NIH and WHO and elsewhere should be behind bars, but I can't remember the last time I even heard Fauci's name. It's as if even many of the

people who were put through hell during the COVID years would prefer to try to forget about it and move on.

Fortunately, Naomi Wolf is not inclined to think that way. Wolf, a perennially controversial feminist whose bestselling first book was *The Beauty Myth: How Images of Beauty Are Used against Women* (1991), has long been a leading figure on the left, serving as an advisor to both Bill Clinton and Al Gore during their presidential campaigns in 1996 and 2000 respectively. To be sure, she was always very much an independent spirit, ready to butt heads with sacred cows across the political spectrum.

And when, during the COVID-19 pandemic, she found her view of

lockdown measures as “totalitarian” unwelcome in the legacy media – which accused her of spreading “conspiracy theories” – she gave interviews on the subject to the likes of Tucker Carlson and Charlie Kirk as well as to the conservative TV networks Sky News Australia and GB News in Britain. She also appeared frequently on Steve Bannon’s *WarRoom* podcast – which helped make possible her eye-opening new book [*The Pfizer Papers: Pfizer’s Crimes against Humanity*](#), edited by Wolf with Amy Kelly and published by WarRoom.

The Pfizer Papers is nothing less than a *tour de force*. As Bannon says in his foreword, it’s a searing indictment of Big Pharma, the legacy media, and the D.C. swamp, not to mention a definitive account of how both Pfizer and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) perpetrated a massive fraud upon not just the American public but the entire world, and not just for a day or two but for a period of years. In her introduction, Wolf explains that lawyer Adam Siri sued the FDA to gain access to Pfizer’s internal records relating to the results of clinical testing of its mRNA injection for COVID-19 as well as to adverse events reported after the jab began to be administered to the public. The result was the release of 450,000 pages of documents that the FDA had unsuccessfully – and inexplicably – asked a judge to keep secret for 75 years. But what to do with such a massive archive, especially given that it included so much information that could only be made sense of by a range of medical and scientific specialists?

The answer: Wolf recruited some 3,250 professionals around the world – immunologists, oncologists, obstetricians, pharmacologists, biologists, chemists, and so on, not to mention lawyers – to study the documents and explain their significance. The “bought-off media,” as she puts it, had called the Pfizer jab “safe and effective.” But where did the truth lie? The truth – the somber truth – was that the top brass at Pfizer had known by April 2021 that their injections “damaged the hearts” of young people. They knew that the jab

had a myriad of other side effects – and that it was especially unsafe for pregnant women, young mothers, fetuses, and infants. Yet Pfizer withheld this and other vital information from the public, and government officials in the U.S., the UK, the EU, and Australia sought to suppress it.

Given the scale of the material collected in this book, It's impossible to do it justice in even a lengthy review. So allow me to mention just a few of the findings. An alarming number of people who'd received the Pfizer jab experienced liver damage (50% of them within 3 days of getting the shot), cardiac problems (50% within one day), neurological disorders such as multiple sclerosis and Guillain-Barre syndrome (50% within one day), or kidney injuries and renal failure (50% within 4 days). People perished from anaphylaxis, autoimmune disorders, blood vessel inflammation, and vasculitis, among other complaints. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) appeared for the first time in the world since 2004.

Pathologists routinely told the families of people who died from these ailments that they were the result of natural causes; when the families asked for autopsies by other pathologists, those pathologists refused even to look at micrographs of the deceased individuals' cells. Finally Arne Burkhardt, a pathologist in Germany, agreed to look at the slides, and shared them with specialists in relevant fields – biology, chemistry, physics, etc. Burkhardt and his colleagues determined that the Pfizer shot had played a role in more than 80% of the deaths. So widespread were these deaths during the pandemic that Burkhardt found it necessary to coin a new term – Sudden Adult Death Syndrome (SADS) – to describe a phenomenon that had, until then, been entirely unknown in all of human history.

As noted, pregnant women, new mothers, fetuses, and infants suffered disproportionately. Birth rates dropped. In many places, infants and children under twelve were given the jab seven months before it was approved for pediatric patients.

During a period when it wasn't approved for children under twelve, injections gave rise to such anomalies as "Bell's palsy in a 1-yr-old, stroke in a 7-yr-old, and renal failure in an infant." Meanwhile, over 54% of the pregnant women who were exposed to the vaccine had adverse effects; 19% of babies exposed via breast milk had adverse effects; and 23% of vaccinated mothers' fetuses or newborns died. "In one section of the documents," we read, "over 80 percent of the pregnancies followed resulted in miscarriage or spontaneous abortion." And yet, according to *The Pfizer Papers* one Pfizer document reported, beyond all logic, "that mRNA in breast milk was safe."

The crucial point here is that not only did the Pfizer shot imperil fetuses, babies, and their mothers – *Pfizer and the FDA knew it*. For whatever reason, however, they made an "aggressive effort" to inject pregnant women anyway, with CDC director Rachel Walensky explicitly urging at a White House briefing that pregnant women get the jab. Burkhardt's recommendation was diametrically opposed to Walensky's: "If I were a woman in fertile age, I would not plan a motherhood from a person, from a man, who has been vaccinated....Stop this vaccination at once."

The Pfizer shot posed a threat not just to babies but to minors generally – a cohort that, of course, faced a very small likelihood of getting ill as a result of COVID infection. Nonetheless there was a "\$1 billion campaign to scare and cajole parents into having their children injected to keep them safe." Schools around the world forced kids to get the jab. And because so many children and teenagers were unnecessarily injected, there were innumerable deaths that could easily have been avoided. Sample cases: a 15-year-old girl died of cardiac arrest; four days after receiving a second dose of the Pfizer jab, a 15-year-old boy "developed multifocal hemorrhagic lesions in his brain," rendering him "permanently disabled"; a 13-year-old girl died three weeks

after her third Pfizer jab. *The Pfizer Papers* makes clear that among children between 5 and 11, even a jab far safer than Pfizer's would have "posed as much risk or more risk than the disease itself."

Ute Krüger, a Swedish pathologist, observed spikes in breast cancer, but her findings were even worse than that: the tumors were larger and more numerous than usual, grew "more aggressively and faster," and occurred more frequently among younger patients than is usually the case. She also encountered a number of breast cancer recurrences among patients who had been considered cured. Yet when Krüger presented her findings at a pathology conference in 2021, few of the attendees were interested in helping her look further into the disturbing facts.

Why? Most likely, because her colleagues knew that Pfizer, like other major pharmaceutical companies, was in bed with its purported regulators at the FDA, and that the medical establishment was on board with all of it; hence most of the doctors who might be inclined to question the establishment's messaging about the virus and the so-called vaccines didn't dare do so for fear of career backlash and outright demonization. Witness the fury directed at the distinguished Stanford physician Jay Bhattacharya and his fellow signers of the Great Barrington Declaration, which courageously criticized Fauci's severe lockdown measures and spoke up for the efficacy of "herd immunity."

In any event, one thing is clear now: "Pfizer knew by November 2020 that its mRNA Covid vaccine was neither safe nor effective." In early 2021, Pfizer found "significant harms and deaths" among those who got the jab. But instead of protecting the public from it, Pfizer played with the statistics: "investigators used very small numbers to determine that efficacy was high. *Then they ignored the same small numbers to determine safety*, which included dismissal of adverse effects that occurred within a short time after doses. *The methods*

that were good enough for efficacy were suddenly not good enough for safety." In short, "investigators used a test for efficacy that they knew was not valid."

The FDA was aware to at least some extent of the jab's adverse effects. But the researchers for *The Pfizer Papers* found no evidence that the FDA did anything about them. It certainly didn't tell the public. Pfizer, for its part, appears to have hidden 97% of the jab's negative outcomes, categorizing some deaths as "non-serious" and radically downplaying the mortality figures for fetuses and infants. Pfizer's habitual delays in reporting on injection-related deaths, meanwhile, led the FDA to overstate the jab's safety. In short, the FDA approval of the Pfizer shot was awarded partly in spite of things the FDA knew about its dangers and partly because it had been given "inaccurate data" by Pfizer.

Which leaves one question: why did Pfizer and the FDA deceive the public? *The Pfizer Papers* deliberately confines itself to the known scientific facts, and consequently doesn't speculate about motives. But in a recent podcast [interview](#), Wolf shared some of her thoughts on the subject. To her, the Pfizer injection was part of a deliberate assault on world health, and especially on the ability of women to reproduce. In short, the jab's failings don't seem to have been caused by a pharmaceutical establishment that speeded up formulation, testing, and production because it was desperate to save lives; it seems, rather, that Pfizer was out to do damage – to do damage, in particular, to the reproductive system, and to babies. As my friend Peter "Fjordman" Jensen" put it on Facebook, reading this book is like perusing Dr. Mengele's diaries.

But why would Pfizer want to do damage? Wolf's personal hypothesis is that Pfizer, and perhaps the other producers of mRNA injections for COVID (her book about Moderna is coming out in April), was engaged with the Chinese Communist Party – and, presumably, with key figures in the Western Deep State

and legacy media – in a deliberate scheme to depopulate the planet while eroding Western freedoms with an eye to nurturing a Marxist orthodoxy with a “compliant, fearful workforce.” (Wolf notes that China and Australia both established concentration camps for those who refused to get the jab – and that New York governor Kathy Hochul was eager to do so as well, but was blocked by opponents.)

Is Wolf right about Pfizer’s and the FDA’s motives? The whole business is profoundly sobering – indeed, terrifying – to contemplate. And it’s perfectly consistent with the objectives of the World Economic Forum and its toadies around the globe, who give every indication of longing for the good old days of serfdom. Until the last few years, when the Deep State in Washington, D.C., went to colossal extremes in its efforts to destroy Donald Trump, cripple his administration, and steal the 2020 election, a nefarious plot on such a scale would have seemed like a paranoid fantasy. In 2024, however, it’s not really all that hard to believe that the powers that be at Pfizer and the FDA, far from being our friends and saviors, are, not to put too fine a point on it, utterly inhuman merchants of sheer, unvarnished evil.

First published in [Front Page magazine](#)