

Fareed Zakaria -vs- Donald Trump Regarding Islam...

I didn't see this broadcast, but Conservative Treehouse does a [Why they hate us](#)" discussing why adherents to the most authentic interpretations of Islam hate the United States.

Overall, the [closing statement](#) fraught with political correctness and cultural Marxism:

[...] How can we bring an end to this?

There's really only one way: Help the majority of Muslims fight extremists, reform their faith, and modernize their societies. In doing so, we should listen to those on the front lines, many of whom are fighting and dying in the struggle against jihadis. The hundreds of Muslim reformers I've spoken to say their task is made much harder when Western politicians and pundits condemn Islam entirely, demean their faith, and speak of all Muslims as backward and suspect.

But here's another way to think about this. In America, African-Americans make up [Justice Department study](#). Now we understand – I hope we understand – that when we see a black man on the street, we cannot and must not treat him as a likely criminal. It would be dehumanizing, unfair and racist. In America, of all places, people should be treated as individuals and not as stereotypes from a racial, ethnic or religious group.

I would propose Donald Trump is correct; his proposal is exactly intended to help Muslims "reform their faith". The difference between Donald Trump's proposition and the preferred liberal approach is entirely a matter of expectation. Donald Trump forces confrontation to occur

on moral terms understandable to modern society. The progressively minded Zakaria is completely wrong:



[substantive request](#), to: “*shutdown Muslims entering the United States until our country’s representatives can figure out what is going on*” – it actually makes a great deal of sense.



Such a position is really not the least bit controversial, and that’s exactly what makes it so brilliant on many levels.

Of course, if you are not willing to accept the concept of Islamic Jihad – and/or if you are unwilling to accept that Islamic Terrorists have been specifically targeting America for the past decade – well, in that instance Trump’s position might seem controversial. However, for the rest of us, it makes a great deal of sense.

The really exceptional part of Trump’s proposal is that it is the only consideration that might actually **force** non-Jihadist U.S. Muslims to confront the problem within their religion/association. That aspect makes Donald Trump’s plan rather unique.

In broad terms Donald Trump is reintroducing the concept of “societal shame” as a tool to combat anti-social behavior. If that shame creates isolation, so be it – a culture cannot be forced into a melting pot, they must make the decision themselves.

After decades of the progressive left pushing an ideology of shame as a bad thing, mostly because of the leftists severe aversion to the accompanying concept of *guilt*, Trump is throwing a bucket of ice-cold water on the ‘everyone-

gets-a-trophy-crowd'.

Of course there is a commonality behind Islamic Extremists; that commonality is their adherence to authentic Islam – the degrees of separation within Muslim identity.

Conflating authentic Islam -as supported by a larger aggregate community that refuses to confront it- with the Christian extremists within the Westboro Baptist Church is just silly. The Westboro Church isn't trying to kill non-Christians, and their controversial activity is resoundingly rebuked by the larger Christian community. There is no moral or intellectual equivalence there.

Neither is Catholic Pope Francis using St. Peter's Square as a publicity draw for the beheading of non Christians.

Nor is it extremist elements within the Amish community trying to hide terrorist cells within local Amish communities; and Mr. and Mrs Jorgenson are not being willfully blind to Isaac the bomb-maker's presence inside their church.

If it were the Amish, we'd be having a similar proposal about a prudent pause on Amish immigration – and virtually guaranteed without even one tenth the controversy.

But it isn't. It's Islam.

It is the adherent elements within the Muslim community doing this, carrying out Islamic Jihad; and they are specifically capable of carrying out their plan due in part to the "willful blindness" within various U.S. Mosques. And before anyone takes issue with the use of "Muslim Community" you should probably research the Holy Land Foundation federal trials, there is a significant element of co-dependent jihadism that's been going on for quite a while.

Cartoons don't kill people. Islamic ideologues, who interpret their religion to demand they kill cartoonists, are killing people.

Donald Trump is drawing a very bold line in the sand not because he wants it, but rather because it's necessary, even urgent.

Perhaps if people would actually watch the un-aired portion of the December 2015 CBS interview with Trump, specifically about terrorism, they'd have a much better idea where he is coming from (See: 05:18 for discussion about "going too far"?)

What you see in that interview is Donald Trump having clear eyes as to the threat. Trump is a master at getting through the BS that is actual political correctness, and directly putting his words on the bottom line.

Previous Examples:

? "Well, someone's doing the raping, Don" ([Jake Tapper – link](#))

Donald Trump's call to: (1) pause Muslim Immigration; (2) reassess the threat matrix; (3) make some changes to the vetting process; (4) reevaluate the security risk, and (5) "figure out what is going on", is not only non-controversial – it's prudent and wise.

We've already been told the FBI can't keep up with the current volume of threat from domestic Islamic Extremists already imported. Why would we take any additional risk and stretch them out even further? Again, common sense.

Syed Farook and Tashfeen Malik had been working on their plan to attack San Bernadino for what now appears to be "several years". As specific details come out they apparently were in a network of communication with ISIS

type Islamists – and their families were certainly aware that “something” was going on.



...It is beyond obtuse to believe otherwise.

Grandma making dinner while a pipe bomb lay on the kitchen table – and the White House wants us to believe there was no familial knowledge; while Zakaria wants Americans to