

# **PENTAGON IN CRISIS OVER UFO DEBRIS DISCLOSURE – WEAPONS PROGRAMS USED AS COVER FOR STUDYING MATERIAL**

Anthony Braglia [writes in follow-up](#) to his bombshell revelation that the [here](#).

The ensuing controversy over the released information has directly pitted the Defense Intelligence Agency (whose FOIA Chief has repeatedly confirmed his accurate response to the FOIA) against those of a Pentagon spokesperson. She has issued a brief statement that says that the reports released relate to weapons research, not to UFOs. In so doing, she has exposed the fact that recovered UFO debris has inspired material that has been “folded into” existing advanced weapons and aerospace materials applications research programs. A staffer indicates that fending off the sheer volume of inquiries that the Pentagon has received from media and the public has fueled more chaos. And having to strategize their next promised statement on the matter must add to this even further.

## **PENTAGON’S DESPERATE ATTEMPT TO “BACKPEDAL” THE UFO DEBRIS FOIA**

The Pentagon had become aware of the global interest in the original story on this matter. They are now contradicting one of their most important agencies, their intelligence agency, and trying do major “backpedaling.” Responding to a civilian inquiry on the matter, a representative for the Pentagon, Susan Gough, said in a short statement that though she is “aware of the social media chatter,” the information provided by the DIA actually relates in some way to an “advanced weapons program” and not UFO items. Responding to an American Military News request for comment, a DIA public affairs staff

said that they could not provide further public statement on the disclosed materials, but that the DIA plans to eventually make their (presumably fuller) response to the FOIA request publicly available on the DIA FOIA website.

### **DIA CONFIRMS THEY WERE REFERENCING UFOS**

However, Defense Intelligence Agency Chief of FOIA Steven Tumiski, who released the UFO debris information, is proud of his work product, including what he considers the thoroughness in his fulfillment of the FOIA request. He has repeatedly insisted, including in official replies, that the DIA has been responsive to the controversial FOIA request. Curiously, after his release of the documents to me and this story first broke, he has not returned my outreach to him. If he recants or changes his story, this would be clear evidence that he had been influenced by his superiors.

Pentagon Spokesperson Gough cannot speak with any authority to anything on this matter because she was not privy to the FOIA request when it was residing with the DIA. Her office was not aware at the time that the FOIA did not mention or refer to anything related to "advanced weaponry" nor to aircraft alloys or aerospace metals. The request in fact only mentioned UFO debris "found as flotsam, shot-off, or crashed material" recovered by the

Department of Defense. It names the private contractor understood to hold the material in specialized facilities (Bigelow Aerospace) and it further specified what type of test results were sought on such anomalous material.

[here](#) is the official reply document sent back from the DIA Chief of FOIA.

They both clearly and only reference the words: "UFO", "Unidentified Flying Objects", "Bigelow Aerospace" (an involved defense contractor), and UAPs (Unidentified Aerial Phenomena) "tests" and "debris". At no time whatsoever does

the request or reply ever mention “weapons programs” material as the Pentagon Spokesperson wishes to maintain in desperate explanation.

There is more evidence that the Pentagon fulfilled a request for UFO debris and not simply for something prosaic, like aircraft alloy, as the Pentagon now wishes to claim:

- The DIA attached over 150 pages of technical reports on exotic functional materials. One of these materials was Nitinol and its advantage as a “memory metal” – similar to some of the debris found at the UFO crash at Roswell. Rather than detailing potential use in weapons, it encourages exploration of further use for medical and dental applications. It has nothing whatsoever to do with “advanced weaponry” as the Pentagon Spokesperson states these FOIA documents do.
- In addition to the FOIA request and DIA reply, there are a series of supporting emails between the DIA and this author, seen