

Recent Poll Shows Most Aussies Against Boosting Refugee Intake

This is, of course, just one poll. And people were, it seems, asked about 'refugees' and 'migrants' in general; I have yet to hear of any survey or poll whose devisers were brave enough to ask the non-Muslim inhabitants of any country (whether indigenes or of immigrant background) what they thought of the prospect of, specifically, Muslim 'refugees' and 'immigrants', as opposed to their views on the subject of refugees and immigrants of other – non-Muslim – extraction.

SBS, which is usually pretty reliably Islamophile, and which arranged for the poll to be done, seem to have been rather taken by surprise by the results that they got, which indicate that – despite all the propaganda in our media and the continual pontifications of many in academe and the intelligentsia and far too many among our religious and political leaders – a healthy percentage of Aussies are rather sceptical of the benefits of mass immigration in general and – reading between the lines – mass Muslim immigration/ "refugee" intake in particular.

I shall reproduce the reports that appeared in SBS (per their reporter Aileen Philips) and then in the Herald-Sun. I encourage our readers to click on the links and view the reports in situ, because there was lively discussion in the Comments at the Herald and on the SBS Facebook page; plenty of the comments revealed that not a few Aussies have been doing their homework and have been paying attention to recents in Europe.

<http://www.sbs.com.au/news/article/2016/05/17/most-australians-against-boosting-refugee-intake-despite-eu-crisis-poll>

"Most Australians Against Boosting Refugee Intake Despite EU Crisis: Poll".

In discussion on FB not a few people observed sardonically that the second half of the headline should more properly have read not "despite EU crisis" but rather "because of the EU crisis". That, indeed, was my own immediate reaction upon reading it. – CM

"The majority of Australians are against the country accepting more refugees, an online poll for SBS has shown."

"New figures reveal [that] the majority of people believe the level of immigration into Australia has been too high over the last decade.

"With the federal election just weeks away and immigration high on the campaign agenda, the poll showed [that] most respondents thought the Liberal Party had the right policies on immigration over Labor, with 41 percent to 28 percent.

This, of course, indicating that some 31 percent of people are sitting on the fence. – CM

'The online poll of 1012 [people] for SBS found quite a strong negative sentiment to taking in refugees.

'The majority of respondents did not believe Australia should increase its intake of refugees due to the refugee crisis in Europe.

Hmm. This suggests that at least some Aussies have been paying attention to developments in Europe and that they do not like what they see. – CM

'Most people in the poll also did not agree that accepting refugees was something that a wealthy nation like Australia should do to support poorer nations.

'When it came to multiculturalism and cultural diversity, 62

percent said that it had enriched the social and economic lives of all Australians.

I would like to know how the question was phrased. It is possible that those who answered positively were thinking primarily of previous waves of immigrants and displaced persons, whether from Europe immediately post-WWII or from places like Vietnam; and not of the middle-eastern Muslims, mostly Lebanese, who poured into Sydney from the 1980s onward and very quickly necessitated the creation of the Middle Eastern Organized Crime Squad. – CM

'A smaller number, 46 percent, said multiculturalism had failed, and caused social division and religious extremism in Australia.

Note that 62 and 46 percent add up to 108, not 100; this is curious. And 46 percent expressing a negative view toward 'multiculturalism' (and one must bear in mind that 'multiculturalism' these days mostly seems to mean 'accommodating, flattering, and making excuses for badly-behaving / vociferously-complaining and inappeasable Muslims' and that 'religious extremism' means one thing and one thing only... to wit, 'Muslims inciting, plotting or attempting acts of violent aggression toward the circumambient Infidels') is not a negligible percentage. If just a small number of people change their view that 46 percent could become fifty-plus percent and become a majority. Apparently 46 percent of respondents said yes, multiculturalism etc had failed; 40 percent said it had not; which means that 14 percent of respondents were neutral or undecided; if that 14 percent were to flip to a negative view, that would take the No vote to 60 percent. – CM

'Respondents were able to rank their answers to each question on a scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree and don't know.

'The poll also looked at whether respondents were born in Australia, overseas, or had overseas-born parents.

'Essential Research says the poll of 1021 people would be close to representing the Australian population, but could not guarantee an accurate representation, since many people selected to participate did not participate, among other reasons.'

I hope there will be other surveys, and that they will take the step (especially since the issue of Muslim immigration, specifically, has been 'seeded' into public discussion by that Yankee enfant terrible, Donald Trump) of asking Aussies – and it should be non-Muslim Aussies, whether religious or non-religious who are asked – what they think of Muslim immigration and refugee intake, specifically. There should be a survey – a large, properly-conducted survey, or perhaps a series of surveys – that asks people's opinion of NON-MUSLIM refugee and immigrants, on the one hand, and MUSLIM refugees and immigrants, on the other. In favour? Not in favour? Don't know? Undecided? Because having separate questions re Muslim immigrants and refugees, and re non-Muslim immigrants and refugees, would allow people like me – who are quite happy to take in significant numbers of, for example, Syrian and Iraqi and Coptic Christians, whether as refugees or immigrants – but do not want even one more Muslim, from anywhere at all, to be let into the country, for any reason at all, to indicate this fact.

Now, in the SBS comments, both on Facebook and elsewhere), since it was SBS and a lot of their readership are nicely-groomed Dhimmis, there were useful idiots, the naive and the deceived – as well as declared Muslims busy doing their thing – aplenty, but even so, even at SBS, there were others, grimly reminding people of unpleasant facts. Such as Wes Ferguson – "WW2 refugees totally different culture and mindset lady [this to another commenter]... maybe read the Quran and a history lesson on certain culture. Europe now is what

we shouldn't be...".

And another – one Elliot Altmann – “The South East Asian refugee crisis of the 70s and 80s didn't have the problems Islamic immigration has had. So it's not about being racist”. (This in response to a Useful Idiot who had been trying to play the “you're a racist” card).

One Trav Gaal remarked (this on FB), “Ever thought that maybe the reason why we're against boosting the intake is BECAUSE of the EU crisis. We've seen the news reports of the rapes, and stabbings, and attacks, and the massive amounts of money that have to be shelled out to accommodate for them.” Similarly, again on the SBS FB page, this, from Doug Askin – “I think most Australians can see that the CURRENT refugee crisis (so called) is an Islamic invasion and [they] don't want any more potential terrorists here.” And one ‘Rebecca McIntosh’ made the same point – “Not despite the EU crisis, it's because of the EU Crisis’; promptly seconded by one ‘Sam Isabella’, who said, “I read over the headline 10 times, thought they made a mistake.”

And (this at the SBS Facebook page) another, one Theresa Theile, the most poignant and unanswerable of all, a German-Australian with kin still in Germany – “Of course [we don't want the ‘refugees’]. Because we are seeing the mayhem and destruction being caused in Europe. Their societies being torn apart... and we don't want that here...”; and then, “We were talking to our German relatives in recent weeks... what's going on there is terrible.”

Now, over at the Herald Sun, John Masanauskas reporting on the same ‘shocking’ SBS poll results.

<http://www.heraldsun.com.au/news/australians-dont-want-high-migrant-intake-poll-reveals/news-story/3038f217b1bcc33cdc38853d572d212c>

‘Australians Don't Want High Migrant Intake, Poll Reveals’.

'Australians have turned against high migrant intakes and show little support for helping Europe resettle Middle East refugees (*sic: for 'Middle East refugees' read "large numbers of aggressive Muslim males of military age"* – CM), a shock new poll has revealed.

'In a blow for the ALP ahead of the July 2 election, just over a quarter of those surveyed trusted Labor to have the right immigration policies.

'Of 1021 people polled by Essential Research, 59 percent believe immigration levels over the past decade have been too high.

'Just 28 percent say Australia should increase its refugee intake amid Europe's migrant crisis.

'Australia accepts 13,750 humanitarian arrivals a year, but will take an extra 12,000 refugees from the Syrian war.

And if we had any sense – but neither Turnbull nor Shorten is showing any sign of sense – we would give every one of those 12,000 places to bona fide Syrian – and Iraqi – Christian refugees selected for us by Barnabas Fund via their "Operation Safe Havens" program. But we are not. 9 out of 10 of those admitted so far – all taken via the Muslim-infiltrated-and-subverted UN system – seem to be menacing Mohammedans with large families and a well-developed sense of entitlement. – CM

'The Turnbull Government wants the refugee intake to reach 18,750 by 2018-19 (*and I wouldn't object if every one of those was a mortally-endangered Iraqi or Syrian Christian, and they can throw in some Yazidis and Mandaeans, also non-Islamic and mortally-endangered; but I will object, and keep on objecting, if it is nothing but Muslims, Muslims, Muslims* – CM), while Labor plans for 27 000 within a decade, and the Greens' policy calls for a lift to 50,000.

50,000 Assyrian Christians would be fine. But 50 000 Muslims?

...which is what we would likely get from the Greens.. ...NO, No, NO and again no. – CM

'Commissioned by SBS, the poll found that only 28 percent of voters trusted Labor on immigration compared with 41 percent backing the Coalition.

'Australian Population Research Institute president Dr Bob Birrell said there was widespread disillusionment with the scale of migration, which accounts for 60 percent of nearly 100,000 new arrivals to Melbourne each year.

"This negative attitude is much higher than other survey results in the past few years have indicated," he said.

Reality is biting. Because a very large proportion of the most recent arrivals have been Mohammedans, and they are not making themselves much liked. There was, after all, in Melbourne, that plot to kill Infidels on Anzac Day... – CM

'On the positive side, the poll found that 63 percent of Australians agreed migrants had made a positive contribution to the nation.

The operative word here is 'had'. Past tense. People answering this in the affirmative might well have been thinking of the Italians and Greeks and Poles and Shoah-survivor Jews who arrived after WWII, or of the Vietnamese who arrived in the 1970s. People who for the most part settled in, pitched in, and did make an interesting and positive addition to the nation. – CM

'But when asked if multiculturalism had failed and caused social division and religious extremism, almost half agreed.'

When the phrase 'religious extremism' is used, nobody but nobody instantly thinks of Coptic Christians, Orthodox Greeks or Russians, Polish and Italian Catholics, Jews, Hindus, Sikhs, Taoists or Buddhists. Aussies are perfectly

indifferent to the presence of a very large Buddhist temple complex at Wollongong; and I am quite, quite sure that that temple doesn't keep anybody in the AFP or ASIO up o' nights.

No, 'religious extremism' means one thing and one thing only: bearded Mohammedans inciting to jihad, plotting to attack Anzac Day ceremonies, or taking weapons and killing – or trying to kill – people, whilst howling Allahu-akbar. Got Muslims? Got Jihad. And more and more Aussies are figuring that out.

Again, the Comments were interesting.

'Paul', for example. "...There's also resistance against Muslim migrants because they are the most vocal in demanding of special treatment and the least willing to integrate. There is a clear difference to the southern Europeans and the Asians that dominated previous "waves" of immigration in past decades. The Muslim immigrants are not just different to the majority, but a significant portion are hostile to and reject our way of life. They mostly do not go as far as to support the likes of ISIS, but believe Sharia law should be applied here."

Or Angela – "60,000? No, no, no, not under any circumstance. 1000 is too many if they are Muslims who do not integrate".

Or "JJ" , quoting the line about the 63 percent agreeing migrants had made a positive contribution, and inquiring, "Which migrants made a positive contribution? Migrants from the Middle East or migrants from Europe and UK? I bet the survey did not ask that question." To which 'John' replied – "They [pollsters] didn't ask it [that question] because they already knew the answer and didn't like it".

And 'Vicki' – "At least the ones that came of WWII wanted to settle and be grateful. Too many of the current from the Middle East want to kill us."

Yup. Some – too many – of the Muslims (whether from the

Middle East or South Asia or anywhere else, including Africa – Somalis – and even Europe, to wit, Bosnians and Albanians) do want to kill us... or subjugate us... or intimidate or sucker the weak-minded into converting... by hook or by crook they intend to force us to Submit. The more of them we have in our midst, the more aggressive they will be about pursuing these goals. The fewer, the less. If there were no Muslims in our midst – if NO identifiable Muslims, whether as ‘students’ or ‘businessmen’ or ‘tourists’ or ‘immigrants’ or ‘refugees’ had ever been admitted into Australia – then ASIO and the AFP would have a much, much smaller Homeland Security headache than they currently have. – CM