

Tommy Robinson arrested today using terror legislation.



Tommy Robinson  
@TRobinsonNewEra

...

EMERGENCY ADMIN POST - PLEASE SHARE FAR AND WIDE!!!!

We can confirm that Tommy Robinson has been detained by Police using powers afforded to them under the Terrorism Act 2000.

That's right, you read that correctly, Tommy is being held by Police using counter terrorism legislation.

We will update you as and when we can.



Terrorism Act
2000

11:37 AM · Jul 28, 2024 · 1M Views

The allegations of libel about his documentary last night would be a civil matter. Breach of a Civil injunction can give rise to a prison sentence, not for the act tha breached the injunction, but for defying the court. (If it was also a criminal act, such as a physical assault in a domestic violence case, then that is a separate criminal offence; but that isn't the case here)

The Metropolitan Police deny that they have any involvement in the arrest according to [GB news](#) and some [local east London](#) newspapers. I'll try and find the source for that later.

That hasn't stopped playground sneak Nick Lowles [from gloating](#)

Has the presence of thousands of people on the streets yesterday frightened the government? The speakers covered a wide range of concerns, mass indiscriminate immigration, much of it illegal, the cover up of the rape gangs, abuse of the Armed Forces Covenant, excess deaths and the potential role of vaccines, two tier policing, free speech... what hit a nerve?

For further information, this is the [Terrorism Act of 2000](#)

And [this is the Telegraph](#) last week explaining why

Police don't rush to label violent attacks as 'terrorism'

Counter-terrorism police are assisting the investigation into [the stabbing of Lt Col Mark Teeton outside his home](#) and close to Brompton Barracks, in Kent. But that does not mean the attack – no matter how terrorising it was – can be officially documented as a terrorist one.

There is an official, legal definition of what constitutes a terrorist attack. It involves serious violence – [the assault on the lieutenant colonel was clearly that](#) – but it must also have been carried out "for the purpose of advancing a political, religious, racial or ideological cause".

You could definitely Tommy's work as 'political' but that documentary yesterday is not violence. As I said above, libel and breach of an injunction are civil matters. They are not terrorism.

This has come from the Home Office. The same Ministry that refused to release the Grooming Gang Review in full, even to

the Home Secretary Priti Patel until she put her foot down. And then wasn't Home Secretary for much longer. I'll lay money on it.

[We Are Fair Cop](#), a group of a group of "gender critical lawyers, police officers, writers & professionals dedicated to upholding Articles 8-11 ECHR & removing politics from policing", are thinking on the same lines

This is concerning for two reasons. a) it relies on a definition of 'terrorism' that is at risk of being politically convenient. b) it appears inconsistent with the reaction to the Harehill riots, numerous anti semitic protests, and other recent events. We need urgent clarity and a degree of justification from the Met which includes more than the screening of a controversial film.