The Bloodbath Conspiracy Theory



by Armando Simón

We know they are lying, they know they are lying, they know we know they are lying, we know they know we know they are lying, but they are still lying. —Alexander Solzhenitsyn

A year ago, I <u>argued</u> that the data is in and conspiracy theories are not automatically delusions. In fact, many have been proven to be quite accurate. One of the telltale signs of a true conspiracy is what I dubbed The Principle of Simultaneity, which means that whenever the same, identical message is being put forth across different channels simultaneously, it means that there is a driving force behind it, a manipulator, a puppet master.

This past weekend we saw an in-your-face conspiracy theory become patently obvious to anyone except the true believers. I am referring to a remark by President <u>Trump</u> that should he not be elected to office the auto industry will experience "a bloodbath." Soon afterwards, all the media outlets—which I often refer to as the media hivemind for obvious reasons—began to broadcast the same, identical lie, to wit, that if he was not elected there would be a bloodbath. In other words, there would be massive violence, even more than that carried out by the BLM savages.

Jen Psaki in MSNBC said in so many words that she was certain Trump was going to murder-Dozens? Hundreds? Thousands? Millions? Anyway, a lot. She knew this was so because Trump spoke up for the January 6 political prisoners-something that the mouthbreathing retards in the Republican Party refuse to do. The **<u>bimbo</u>** referred to it as "the deadly assault on the US Capitol," in spite of the fact that the only death resulted from a MAGA woman being shot in the face by a guard who was subsequently paraded in front of the cameras to show him traumatized by the weaponless "insurrection." The January 6 insurrection trope was brought up by a grown-up Harry Potter lookalike, Joe Scarborough. And, of course, he repeated the horrors of a potential bloodbath . . . that is, until Elon Musk and others ridiculed him, whereupon he deleted his outrage. CBS interviewed Mike Pence in Face the Nation and, although "the bloodbath" was not mentioned (unless I missed it) he did talk at length on the January 6 "insurrection" because of Trump's comment on the treatment of the political prisoners. Pence was traumatized by the fact that ordinary citizens ("the people that ransacked the Capitol") wanted to talk to their so-called representatives in "an environment that claimed lives."

<u>CNN</u> dragged out Nancy Pelosi out of her web and in front of the cameras to repeat the party line, which she did, spewing her hatred for the man. <u>ABC</u> repeated the trope and-surprise, surprise-once again called him a Hitler. <u>CBS</u> went for the proxy propaganda, that is, it did not spout the propaganda, just cited others who did. This is a very common tactic with the media hivemind.

The Nation, a magazine with articles to the left of Josef Stalin, quickly put out an article (along with an unflattering picture of Trump, another common tactic) on the bloodbath. "Deplatforming the former president hasn't worked, so America needs to rediscover his depravity." <u>Newsweek</u> also did a hatchet job, although beginning the article with a thin veneer of fairness.

And so on.

The obvious mendacity by the media was there for <u>everyone</u> to see and the backlash was <u>furious</u>.

Two questions that should be asked in this and in every verified conspiracy theory are: Who gave the order? Where did it originate? Those questions always need to be investigated and answered since the manipulators like to stay in the shadow to inflict further damage, but should be exposed.

Lastly, if any of your friends ever tell you conspiracy theories are all bogus, you can point to this data as proof otherwise. Though you probably won't change their minds.

Armando Simón is a retired psychologist, author of *Fables from the Americas*.