

UK jihad leader Anjem Choudary denies media reports that he called for violence against British troops

Anjem Choudary has been in personal contact with our friend Robert Spencer of Jihadwatch denying the call attributed to him in the Mail on Sunday about which both Mr Spencer and [I posted later](#) that day.

The simplest thing for Choudary to do, if that wasn't what he said, would be a link to his words on the website in which he made them. I didn't have a link to any of his working outlets on Sunday and the one he provided to Jihadwatch yesterday, to his 'latest blog', [here](#), has been taken down overnight. And that was, on his own admission, a later pronouncement.

Anjem tells Jihadwatch that his words were:-

"The latest honest outpouring from Harry should serve not just as evidence of the motives and objectives behind the British occupation of Afghanistan but also as a wake up call for Muslims and non-Muslims to do all they can to end the occupation of foreign forces from all Muslim countries, including Syria, Iraq and those in North Africa where many British soldiers are deployed."

which he submits is a call for troops to withdraw via diplomatic means not targeted for jihad.

He also sent a link to comments made on [Twitter by a former Detective](#), now a True Crime writer, David Videcette, which he thought gave a more reasoned appraisal of his comments. [The Telegraph](#), linked by David Videcette quoted him more extensively, but still found his words to constitute a threat,

albeit a veiled one.

Writing online, he said: "The latest revelations from Harry that he murdered 25 Muslims in Afghanistan followed by his comment that he has no remorse and that he just saw these human beings as 'chess pieces' tells us everything we need to know about the Royal family and their thinking about Islam and Muslims.

"In reality, the British Royal family has a long and dark history of supporting the occupation of Muslim land, the usurpation of its resources and the oppression of Muslims."

And in comments that could be interpreted as a veiled threat against British troops, he added that Muslims around the world should seek to "end the occupation of foreign forces".

He wrote: "The latest honest outpouring from Harry should serve not just as evidence of the motives and objectives behind the British occupation of Afghanistan but also as a wake-up call for Muslims and non-Muslims to do all they can to end the occupation of foreign forces from all Muslim countries, including Syria, Iraq and those in North Africa where many British soldiers are deployed."

His discussion with Mr Spencer is of interest and well worth reading.

I'm not so certain that he cannot be stripped of British citizenship, as was Shamima Begum (also of Bangladeshi heritage) and then deported. Much would depend on his status since an adult with Bangladesh. As the authorities still have not deported the convicted mass rapists of Rochdale I doubt that they have the political will to even research the possibility. A case could have been made against him in the past for a charge of treason, but again political will is lacking. To quote [David Videcette](#)

He's a qualified lawyer, and certainly not stupid enough to put his liberty in danger via a blog post.

He is also slippery as an eel and crafty with it. We don't know what he teaches his young acolytes in private.