

Whitewashing Leftist and Islamist Jew Hatred

By Phyllis Chesler

Two professors take to the *New York Times* to blur the connection between DEI and the Left's response to Oct. 7th.

These days, when one ponders misogyny and antisemitism, one's mind should almost immediately turn to Hamas's "genocidal" and sadistic rapes, kidnappings, and murders of Israeli women on 10/7. Of Israeli men, too.



Israeli woman being abducted by Hamas terrorists Oct. 7 (NBC News/YouTube)

But let's be clear. Hamas is a Muslim, Islamist, terrorist group funded by Iran, Qatar, and major Western philanthropists, and covered for by both the Western intelligentsia and the Western media.

Please recall, that the mobs on the “Jew hunt” in Amsterdam were mainly Muslims whose country of origin was Morocco.

As for the media: Consider the op-ed published in the *New York Times* Dec. 2. It’s written by two professors, Natalia Mehlman Petrzela and Rachel Schreiber, both of whom teach at the New School. Remember it’s the school that had the first faculty led anti-Israel/pro Hamas encampment in Manhattan. The piece is titled “[Misogyny and Antisemitism Are a Toxic Brew.](#)” Good title, important subject, but guess what?

Is Hamas or Iran correctly identified, targeted, focused upon? Absolutely not.

The co-authors do note, but only in passing, that “antisemitism and misogyny can be found across the political spectrum” but do not name or describe any alleged wrong-doers among Democrats, on the [American Left](#), or in the Muslim world.

Instead, they specifically, and rather shockingly, name President-elect Trump. “The problem is rampant on the right, as conservatives platform a Hitler apologist and lionize Donald Trump, a man who has been found liable for sexual abuse and scapegoated Jews in anticipation of a possible loss.”

First of all, “conservatives” didn’t platform a Hitler apologist, Tucker Carlson (inexcusably) did. Last time I looked, Carlson was banished from the most widely watched conservative cable news channel, Fox.

Secondly, are the *Times* authors saying that Trump sexually abused Jewish women? Because they were Jews? Did his orthodox Jewish daughter have anything to say about this? Or are they mixing it all up due to the lack of corroborating evidence and joining Trump’s alleged abuse of non-Jewish women with statements he made about the Jewish vote? Utterly incoherent.

Petrzela and Schreiber also describe a rapist in Texas “who

put on Christmas music and raped (a woman) after she disclosed she was Jewish.”

One is meant to assume that the Texas rapist is a Christian.

This is all passing strange. Here’s why.

These co-authors begin their piece this way: “Zio bitch! A young man in a kaffieyeh and Black Lives Matter T-shirt barked at one of us.”

We are not told the young man’s name, skin-color, or religion. When something is omitted, it usually means that we are talking about a Muslim or an African American perpetrator. Courtesy of DEI, even if this might be an accurate description – it will still be perceived as a racist or “Islamophobic” statement. One will be fired, cancelled, ostracized.

Thus, consider the gang-rape of the 12 year-old girl in France, which the op-ed mentions. Everyone in France knows that the French media and the French police do not mention race, skin-color, ethnicity, or country of origin in criminal matters. It was the Jewish community which informed the public that the girl was a Jew, and that the young rapists “used antisemitic slurs while they violated her.” Does anyone believe that White, Catholic Crusaders or white Nazis did this?

Please recall, that the mobs on the “Jew hunt” in Amsterdam were mainly Muslims whose country of origin was Morocco. One is not allowed to write this – and frankly, since so many Caucasian Dutch soon supported the “Jew hunt,” perhaps it matters a bit less.

The silence of feminists globally after 10/7 is something I know a bit about. The co-authors mention it as well as the “hostility” towards anyone who tried to break this silence. But what’s this point doing here? Being de-platformed is not the same as being raped, is it? Are the feminist censors

rapists, or at least rape-collaborators? If so, why not say so?

Petrzela and Schreiber mention pornography, pogroms, and Jews, and quote Jean Paul Sartre and Andrea Dworkin who both refer to 20th century matters. What the Hamas terrorists did on 10/7, in the 21st century was, in part, influenced by pornography but they also took it a step further, by video-ing it, proudly sending their crimes to their victims' families, and turning it loose on the internet.

Finally, the co-authors point out how "intersectionalists" have sought to redress all forms of bias – except that of antisemitism. White, Jewish women, in particular, are "soft targets," and remain unprotected by academic DEI feminists. The co-authors are right. But they fail to connect this with the silence of feminists on 10/7. Or to place the silence in the larger context of Islamist/left-wing Jew-hatred.

I wonder how heavily edited this piece was – or whether the co-authors themselves are proud of it just as it is.

First published in the [American Spectator](#)