

Wilders Debates Hague Parliament Opposition over Australian Solution to Bar 1 Million illegal Migrants from EU



Geert Wilders during a debate in the Hague Parliament about the Mediterranean's migrant crisis

April 22 April 2015. SOURCE: EPA/BART MAAT

In the May *New English Review*, we drew attention to the problem of Illegal Migration threatening Europe and even the US," [Stemming the Surge of Deadly Illegal Migration Across the Mediterranean](#)".

We cited Geert Wilders, leader of the Freedom Party (PVV) from his April 29, 2015 speech at the Washington, DC Conservative Opportunity Society [saying](#):

The UN plan to resettle 1 million immigrants in Western nations will provide jihadis an opportunity to infiltrate Western countries, including the US. It will give terrorists the opportunity to settle in our countries without the extra scrutiny involved in obtaining a visa or a residence permit.

We should not do this. The vast majority of the European citizens disapprove of the way their governments are handling immigration. Moreover, there are plenty of other safe countries where immigrants bound for the West can go to, including the wealthy Gulf States that have almost zero asylum-seekers today.

Immigration, especially Islamic immigration, had devastating consequences. It has made our countries less safe.

A week prior on April 22, 2015. Wilders engaged in a debate with opposition of the merits of the Australian solution-blocking and returning illegal migrants. As he points out in the debate, that resulted in no drownings and yet spared Australia the burden of providing asylum. Moreover, Wilders points out that the wealthy Gulf Emirates and Saudi Arabia do not provide sanctuary nor underwrite the costs of refugees fleeing the jihadi conflicts in the Middle East and Africa.

He argues that it would cost \$26,000 per annum to support an illegal migrant if granted asylum by the Netherlands, nearly double the average Old Age pension of 13,500 Euros. The opposition invokes the plight of refugees from the jihadi conflicts in Syria, Libya and Africa, but without suggesting a solution. He sticks to his arguments. Perhaps as the leading party in polls taken in the Netherlands, he appears to have the support of many of its citizen harboring grave concerns about illegal Migration from predominately Muslim countries.

[Watch](#) this Vlad Tepes YouTube video with English subtitles of The Hague Parliament Debate on Wilder's Australian solution to the illegal migrant problem:

That is reflected in the number of Muslim émigrés leaving to join ISIS, only to return as possible domestic terror threats. Despite the resonance of Wilders views with the Dutch polity, save for émigré Muslims and political allies on the left in the Hague Parliament, he is once again being investigated by public prosecutors in the Hague over comments in a campaign Rally about Holland not needed "more Moroccans".

We [note](#) his statement on December 8, 2014 prior to a police interrogation on the Hague prosecutor charges:

Those who do not understand that we have an enormous problem with Islam and with Moroccans in the Netherlands, though seeing, they do not see, and though hearing, they do not hear.

For the reasons above, while on campaign in The Hague, I argued that there need to be fewer Moroccans. And, at an election meeting in The Hague, I asked those present a number of questions, one of which was "Do you want more or fewer Moroccans?"

Indeed, I want fewer Moroccans in the Netherlands for the reasons and context that I have previously expressed in this statement as well as in Parliament and for which I refer you to the documents that I now deposit.

I have yet to meet the Dutchman who wants more Moroccans in the Netherlands. Asking for fewer Moroccans is something totally different than if I were to want all Moroccans to leave the Netherlands or if I were to object to every Moroccan.

Like me, 43% of all the Dutch and 95% of my supporters want fewer Moroccans in the Netherlands. I have said what millions of Dutchmen think.