
# You Say "Islamophobia" Like It's a Bad Thing



### by Armando Simón

The world has been scourged with many fanatical sects in religion who, inflamed by a sincere but mistaken zeal, have perpetuated under the idea of serving God the most atrocious crimes. —Alexander Hamilton

## Being Islamophobic is a rational choice to make.

A few years back, leftists coined the word "Islamophobic" and did so in disapproving tones and, as usual, the reaction of those accused was, and is, to grovel and apologize and feel guilty, instead of affirming the label with pride. They did the very same thing with "Transphobic," with the same result, as if a grown man removing his genitalia and donning women's clothing could be anything but grotesque. Canada's University of the <u>Fraser</u> Valley is one of many institutions that has condemned Islamophobia, even using Critical Race Theory for that purpose. The US <u>Congress</u>, with its temporary Democrat majority, passed an anti-Islamophobia bill.

Although I am not religious, I respect people who are religious and their practices and, if truth be told, I envy the solace that they get from religion. I equally detest those obnoxious, in-your-face atheists who go out of their way to undermine persons' religion, usually through ridicule or through bemoaning calamities carried out eight hundred years ago under the name of Christianity.

Having said that, let me confess that I am unapologetically Islamophobic. In fact, I was Islamophobic way before 9/11. Why? Because I knew history and Muslim culture. My conclusions were, therefore, based not on my religious affiliation, but because of historical and sociological facts. Some individuals may think me rude for stating facts and my conclusions (I don't really care), but Christianity is, without a doubt, morally superior to Islam.

What differentiated Christianity from all of the previous religions was simply that it linked a simplified theology with an appealing system of ethics, i.e. an ethical philosophy. Ethics was the central concept of the religion. It had never been done before. Even the Old Testament lacked a system of ethics. Actually, the Old Testament was simply a historical chronicle of a group of people.

The crux of my argument advocating Islamophobia is this: (a) All present-day religions encourage followers to reject the satanic impulses. Islam is the only one that openly encourages it. (b) Muslims carry out atrocities using their religion as their justification. (c) Christianity did away with slavery-forcibly. Islam *still* condones it and practices it in many Muslim countries-and the <u>slaves</u> are usually black (and where is the liberal outrage?)

Contrary to what many liberals assume, historically, slavery was universally practiced by Native Americans, Asians, Africans and Europeans (it did *not* originate in America as some liberals would have you believe). Christianity took over the Roman Empire and such was the "obviousness" of slavery that it was initially not banned (see St. Augustine's *Confessions* when he mentions Christian slaves owned by Christians). It had always been around everywhere in the world, it was just taken for granted. Eventually, Christianity was the first, sole, authority to declare slavery to be immoral and, beginning in the mid-1800s to forcibly abolish it everywhere. It has been that way ever since.

Enter the Muslim hordes and they overran northern Africa, the Near East and Iberia. Muslim navies had partial control of the Mediterranean and they periodically captured ships and enslaved Christians (*Don Quixote's* Cervantes was a slave). Some slave ships even went as far as the English coast in the 1600s and captured hundreds of Englishmen and women from their villages. They were treated horribly. White women were especially sought after as sex slaves (be sure to read <u>Milton's White Gold and Ibrahim's Sword and Scimitar</u>. Read them!).

Europe was the eternal goal of the Muslim savages and they attacked it again and again. They were indirectly aided by the ineptitude and the stupidity of European rulers. Just like today.

Iberia was liberated by Christians in 1492 and the Balkans-finally-in the late 1800s.

After Columbus' death, the Iberian Conquistadores took over the Caribbean, where they exterminated the Indians, but they needed labor, so they borrowed a page from the nearby Muslims and started to import slaves. About a century later, England started colonies in North America, but labor was in short supply, so indentured servants were used. Some black slaves were brought in by individuals. One black man, Anthony Johnson, claimed in a court case that because of African custom, another black man was not an indentured servant but a slave for life (by 1860, 28% of <u>blacks</u> in New Orleans owned slaves). Other blacks used <u>slave</u> labor on a relatively large scale. Liberals are mum on this fact, including the consummate mediocrity Nikole Hannah-Jones.

But the idea of slavery began to gnaw at the colonists by the end of the 1700s (although it never caused any crisis in conscience with the Spaniards or French). By the mid-1800s, the disgust with slavery had reached a peak in England and America, denounced *from Christian pulpits*. America subsequently plunged into a horrific civil war over it and powerful Britain scoured the seas for slave runners, ultimately pressuring European powers to outlaw slavery. When Britain expanded its empire, one of their top agendas was the abolition of slavery-even in countries where it did not control! The British navy was *very* aggressive in this endeavor.

In the 20<sup>th</sup> century, slavery was reintroduced by white people in the Soviet Union, Cuba, and by Asians in North Korea, Vietnam, Cambodia and China. Under the name of Communism.

<u>Slavery</u>, however, has remained to this day in many <u>Muslim</u> countries, for example, in <u>Mali</u>, <u>Mauritania</u>, <u>Yemen</u>, Saudi <u>Arabia</u>, <u>Pakistan</u>, <u>Sudan</u>. ISIS proudly announced the reintroduction of <u>slavery</u>, and a prominent Saudi cleric proclaimed that Islam and slavery go hand in hand. To reject slavery is to reject <u>Islam</u>.

Fine. I reject them both.

One of Islam's intellectuals, Muqaddimah <u>Ibn</u> Khaldun, wrote: "Therefore, the Negro nation are, as a rule, submissive to slavery, because [Negroes] have little [that is essentially] human and have attributes that are quite similar to those of dumb animals, as we have stated."

So where is the liberal outrage? After all, many Muslims admire Adolf <u>Hitler</u>. Well . . . <u>they</u> support Muslims. That so many leftists have gone over to the side of the Islamofascists in America, Canada, Australia and Europe speaks volumes as to the *real* motivations of <u>leftists</u>.

As for the Prophet Mohammed himself, he was a dog-hating, slave-owning, <u>pedophile</u> (incidentally, note that while selfrighteous liberals want to tear down Confederate statues as memories of slavery they see nothing wrong with either Mohammed or Islam and insist we must respect them). According to the Hadith and the Koran, he targeted nursing baby girls for when they would later grow a few years later. One can argue that the present-day pedophilia in <u>Muslim</u> cultures is a result of his being a role model (bridal registries can be found at Toys R Us). The randy old goat checked out his sonin-law's wife, ordered him to divorce her and <u>banged</u> her. Then, he got rid of her.

The treatment of <u>women</u> in most Muslim societies is stomach churning, even for an antifeminist like myself. Essentially, they are slaves, subjected to <u>early</u> marriages, no <u>legal</u> standing to speak off, casting off from a bored husband, wearing potato sack-like clothes or black clothing that makes <u>them</u> look like crows. They are subjected to clitorectomies in <u>many</u> countries, even inside enclaves of civilized <u>countries</u>. And, of course, the husband can have four wives, which is deeply humiliating to the older wife.

So, again, where is the liberal outrage? Where are the liberals-especially the shrieking feminists who foam at the mouth and are always ready to jump at somebody's throat for

using a wrong word-when it comes to admitting additional Muslims into North America and Europe?

I hear crickets chirping.

When I attended an anti-Shariah, anti-female-genitalmutilation rally in Austin, there were dozens of feminists interspersed with Antifa communists denouncing our "fascism." We were even called white supremacists, even though we had Hispanics, blacks, Asians, and gays in our group whereas the Antifa punks were sunken-chested whites. In fact, there was even a Muslim lady there in our group, which brings up the fact that Muslims themselves are critical of the religion, Ayaan Hirsi Ali being among the best known.

There is also the intolerant bloodthirstiness of the religion, of which we in the West have seen displayed repeatedly, both historically, and recently. This call to violence is enshrined and codified in the Koran: "Whenever you meet unbelievers, cut off their heads" Sura 47:7 and ""Muslims must muster all weapons to terrorize the infidels." Sura 8:60. "Kill the unbelievers wherever you find them." Sura 2:191 "Make war on the infidels living in your neighborhood." Sura 9:123 "When opportunity arises, kill the infidels wherever you catch them." Sura 9:5 "Any religion other than Islam is not acceptable." Sura 3:85 "Maim and crucify the infidels if they criticize Islam." Sura 5:33 "Punish the unbelievers with garments of fire, hooked iron rods, boiling water melt their skin and bellies." Sura 8:65 "Muslims must not take the infidels as friends." Sura 3:28 "Terrorize and behead those who believe in scriptures other than the Qur'an." Sura 8:12 "O you who believe! Do not take the Jews and the Christians for friends; they are friends of each other; and whoever amongst you takes them for a friend, then surely he is one of them; surely Allah does not guide the unjust people." Sura 5:51 "The punishment of those who wage war against Allah and his messenger and strive after corruption in the land will be to be killed or crucified, or have their hands and feet and

genitals cut off, or to be expelled out of the land. Such will be their humiliation in the world, and in the next world they will face an awful horror." Sura 5:33-34 There are many others, which even <u>target</u> other Muslims.

In short, rape and murder, especially mass murder, is sanctioned and codified in the Islamic religion.

Charming. Simply charming. Islam is the perfect religion for criminals. Where else would you find a religion that tells you that God wants you to rape or murder? Islam's image of God is that God is a psychopath.

So, when was the last time that you personally heard, or read, of any Christian cleric calling for Christians to commit rape, and murder other people?

Nor is it just the Koran. Other Muslim scholarly texts have a similar outlook, such as the famous *Reliance of the Traveler*, which recommends that a Muslim who does not pray on Fridays must be executed (and here I must mention that, many Western Europeans have internalized the propaganda put out by their media hivemind and parrot the phrase that Islam is a religion of peace; when one individual asked a <u>couple</u> of them whether they had read the above suras, the indoctrinated individuals' reaction was to run away, the terxtbook reaction of indoctrinated individuals avoiding conflicting information).

Nor is it just a matter of seeing things from our cultural standpoint. As I have stated above, <u>Some</u> ex-Muslims have expressed a revulsion over the same issues.

Muslims even target Barbie <u>dolls</u> while tomatoes are <u>haram</u> because <u>t</u>omatoes are Christians.

True, Christianity had its period of violent intolerance, but it was nowhere codified in Scripture and the violence actually went against the religion. For all intents and purposes, that phase ended in the mid-1600s with the horrific Thirty Years'

### War.

Islam is a religion that promotes evil, with ayatollahs and imams and other prophets promoting this evil. Just look at a picture of Ayatollah Khomeini, who once stated, "Allah did not create man so that he could have fun." That face just radiates evil. The man looks like he has never had an orgasm in his life.

Furthermore, it would not be an accurate defense to say that the Muslims' atrocities took place centuries ago. They are still taking place even now. I will give a *few* examples, in Western countries and in Third World countries:

#### Western countries.

"In every country where Muslims are in the minority they are obsessed with 'the rule of law' and minority rights. In every country where Muslims are the majority there is no 'rule of law' nor minority rights" Winston Churchill

-In France, high school teacher <u>Samuel</u> Paty was beheaded in Conflans-Sainte-Honorine by a teenager because he had supposedly <u>shown</u> drawings of Mohammed. The accusation was a lie spread by a Muslim teenager. Another <u>Muslim</u> killed three people in a church, beheading one of them. Nearby Paris, three African <u>Muslims</u> were trying to follow their culture's custom of cannibalism on a man who was still alive.

-In England, a 250lb <u>Muslim</u> punched a disabled Christian woman. A Muslim took down a <u>cross</u> from a church. His arrogance was such that he did it without trying to hide his act. "Pakis" in England, however, are better known for murdering and raping women. It's a hobby with them. Case in point is Ayman Aziz, who raped and murdered a 14 y/o girl. Incidentally, there are many stupid white girls who <u>date</u> Muslims to show off how "tolerant" and "inclusive" they are, with predictable <u>results</u>. -In <u>Germany</u>, a Muslim hacked to death his wife with an axe in broad daylight. The government tried to suppress any information that he was a Muslim.

In <u>Nantes</u>, a woman chose a Muslim for a boyfriend. One day, the boyfriend tied her up with cables and beat her body with a rod, shaved her head, and put her hand in boiling water. She eventually escaped, he was arrested, and she is now probably looking for another Muslim immigrant to demonstrate how tolerant and inclu sive she is.

-In Canada, a <u>Muslim</u> tried to burn down Langara College (Justin Trudeau probably will justify the arson as just someone trying to make S'Mores).

-In Sweden, a Muslim who repeatedly <u>raped</u> a child was not extradited. A group of <u>Muslims</u> were found to have been involved in other rapes.

-In Italy, a Muslim attempted to burn a <u>school</u> bus full of children. In <u>Rome</u>, a Somali entered a hospital and attempted to rape a woman in labor. Meanwhile, in <u>Como</u>, a priest was stabbed by a Muslim that the priest *had been helping*.

-In Australia, a <u>Muslim</u> punk attacked two women with a machete, killing one of them. Elsewhere in the country, Muslim students walked out of school during the national <u>anthem</u> because since they are Muslims, they should be allowed to do anything they want. Like setting <u>fires</u> at a park, which two Muslim brothers laughed about.

-In America, an American professor has been threatened to be killed because he pointed out that <u>Islam</u> is a violent religion. Obviously, the irony is lost on fanatics. Elsewhere in <u>Jersey</u> City, the Muslims had a fit because schools did not close on one of their holidays and warned (or boasted), "We're no longer the minority, that's clear from tonight. We're going to be the majority soon." That is a scary thought. -Some <u>leftist</u> bureaucrat in the American government thought it would be a wonderful idea to turn Minneapolis into <u>Somalia</u>, so Somali savages were imported by the thousands and now the <u>city</u> has been trashed, whereas before it used to be <u>pristine</u>. A five-year-old boy was thrown off a balcony at the Mall of America by a <u>Somali</u> savage, who was described by the leftist media simply as "a man from Minneapolis," and the incident as "a fall." <u>Somalis</u> have also been involved in illegal voting gangs for-surprise!-the Democratic Party. The <u>New York Times</u> wrote fake news stating that it did not take place, but ultimately had to admit that the journalists lied. Again, surprise!

#### Third Word countries

-In Burkina Faso, a group of Muslims entered a church and butchered the parishioners.

-In Nigeria, the terrorist group <u>Boko</u> Haram entered a village and massacred most of the villagers, including the children. Elsewhere, in another village, <u>houses</u> were burnt down and people were killed by the Fulani jihadists. The same jihadists killed <u>more</u> people and destroyed more homes in another <u>place</u> in the <u>country</u>. American media-silent. This has caused one <u>writer</u> to ask, "Why don't Christian Black Lives Matter?"

-In Pakistan, it is customary for the Muslims to lie about Christians, saying that they commit blasphemy or whatever, which instantly inflames the hysterics into acts of violence. A <u>crowd</u> of Muslims beat up a mentally disabled man who was accused of blasphemy. During the <u>COVID</u> epidemic, global Christian charities sent aid to Pakistan; that aid was taken away for the Muslims and the Christians were denied help. Christians in <u>Pakistan</u> are being forced to clean sewers by their Muslim brothers. As far as Western media coverage of these acts . . . you can hear crickets chirping.

-In Morocco, two Danish women were stupid enough to go

backpacking by themselves in a Muslim country. They were hacked to death by a <u>Muslim</u> for religious reasons.

-In <u>Niger</u>, there are slave markets where one can buy a male or female slave.

-In <u>Iraq</u>, 15,000 Christians were exterminated. 3,000 <u>Yazidi</u> sex slaves are presumed dead. <u>Concern</u> and sympathy by liberals . . . nil.

-In Egypt, numerous Coptic Christians are being attacked, killed, beheaded by Muslims and their churches destroyed on a regular basis. Outrage by liberals . . . none.

-In Mozambique's volatile northern <u>Cabo</u> Delgado, at least 13 civilians died in attacks by Muslims.

#### <u>The Traitors</u>

It should be remembered from history that, in ancient times, it transpired that a walled city held off invading barbarians, but occasionally there would be a traitor in a high position who, whatever his motivation, would open the gates to the hordes of barbarians that would then engage in murder and destruction.

Leftist politicians, apparently adhering to a cult of suicide, are allowing and even encouraging these Muslim invaders to <u>come</u> into their country and establish beachheads ("No go <u>zones</u>"). These <u>leftist</u> politicians also <u>make</u> a point to <u>suppress</u> all criticisms of their <u>policies</u>, or of the <u>invaders</u>. Often, <u>they</u> will go to <u>extremes</u> to persecute <u>dissenters</u>. Some of the politicians do so because they, being liberals, deeply hate their own cultures and want to see them eradicated and to that end have forbidden the media to report badly on the Muslims' (often criminal) activities and persecute those citizens who do (contrary to popular belief, European countries do *not* have <u>freedom</u> of <u>speech</u>). They go out of the way to persecute individuals who attempt to raise the <u>alarm</u>. Simply put, those politicians are <u>traitors</u>. Nor is it just the politicians; leftist <u>media</u> outlets and organizations calling themselves human <u>rights</u> agencies (without an ounce of self-awareness or irony) do the very same thing, from censoring to legally harassing them to verbally attacking dissenters like Geert Wilders, to sanitizing the jihadist's <u>crimes</u>. <u>One of the best-known cases</u> is Tommy Robinson in the <u>UK</u>, who has <u>been</u> demonized by the <u>BBC</u> and *The Guardian*. He was once accused of a bogus crime, tried and convicted, all in the space of two <u>hours</u>, whereupon he was sent to the *worst* British prison, teeming with Muslim criminals.

<u>They</u> will also harass <u>Muslims</u> who speak out <u>against</u> the jihadists.

Nor is it limited to individuals. In the European <u>Union</u>, leftist politicians of Germany, Holland, France and others have combined to harass those countries like Poland and Hungary who resist being overrun by the Muslim savages.

Some individuals are of the naïve **<u>belief</u>** that the Muslim immigrants will be assimilated and embrace Western humanistic values, whereupon we will all link arms and sing Kumbaya. However, Jean-Francois Revel states that while a Muslim in France "stridently claims to be discriminated against, it is itself deeply xenophobic and racist." An anonymous writer put it another way: "Imagine coming to this country, refusing to assimilate, and displaying open hostility toward your host to degree that signals an ultimate plan of genocidal а replacement." What we see is that the immigrants have a deep <u>contempt</u> for both the European <u>people</u> and their <u>values</u>—and openly say so. They are against freedom of speech and religion and <u>tolerance</u>, though they use those concepts in order to attack Western cultures and attract converts. As for the Europeans, Muslims view them with contempt as fools that should be taken advantage of, while the women must be raped.<sup>1</sup> They openly say so.

So <u>persons</u> can <u>pretend</u> all they <u>want</u> and proclaim Islam to be "a religion of peace." But the record proves otherwise. You can see here what the <u>Gospels</u> and, therefore, Christianity would have been like if they had been written from an Islamic viewpoint.

So, yes, there may be a <u>steady</u> decline of adherents to the Christian religion in <u>this</u> country, the ACLU can continue its primary mission to harass Christians and Christian institutions as it has for decades, <u>liberals</u> constantly <u>attack</u> <u>Christians</u> in a <u>myriad</u> of <u>ways</u>, and <u>they</u> may want to abolish the Christian <u>religion</u>. In <u>France</u>, a Catholic procession was recently physically attacked by leftists. Art museums may showcase items desecrating Christian symbols as "art" while respecting the other religions, but for my money I will actively defend Christianity.

Being Islamophobic is, therefore, a rational choice. It is one that should be embraced by all humanists. The reasons why everyone should be Islamophobic are plain to see by anyone. However, some Hollywood celebrities get into hysterics if one states facts about Muslims and Islam, <u>Ben</u> Affleck and <u>Whoopi</u> Goldberg being the most obvious, but then latte sipping, cocaine snorting Hollywood celebrities are famous for pontificating on subjects of which they know nothing about.

So, when someone accuses me of being Islamophobic, my response always is, "You say that like it's a bad thing."

<sup>1</sup> During the Cold War, Western democracies had the same idiotic, naïve belief, namely that <u>KGB</u> agents working in free countries would be impressed and won over by the abundance of consumer goods and by the freedoms to speak, move about, say whatever was in one's mind. Far from converting droves of Soviet spies, the latter saw the freedoms as weaknesses to be used to destroy the democracies. Most of the defectors came

directly from the dictatorships.

Armando Simón is an unapologetic Islamophobe, a trilingual native of Cuba with degrees in history and psychology, and author of When Evolution Stops, The U and Very Peculiar Stories.